```
0001
1
    DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
     COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION
        LISTENING SESSION
 4
                - - -
 5
 6
                The Ohio State University
 7
                Agriculture Administration
 8
                Building 003 Auditorium
9
                2120 Fyffe Road
10
                Columbus, Ohio 43210
11
                Monday, August 21, 2006
12
                4:00 o'clock p.m.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
2.4
25
0002
1
                    PROCEEDINGS
2
 3
                            Monday, August 21, 2006
 4
                            Afternoon Session
 5
 6
               MODERATOR SENG: Good evening and
    welcome to the fourth of 24 Cooperative
 7
8
    Conservation Listening Sessions on Cooperative
9
    Conservation.
10
             My name is Phil Seng, and I'll be the
11
    Moderator for tonight's session. I'd like to
12
     formally begin these proceedings. I'm honored to
13
     introduce Kim Burton to sing our National Anthem.
14
              Would you please rise?
15
              (National anthem.)
              MODERATOR SENG: Thank you.
16
17
              First I'd like to acknowledge several
18
    dignitaries we have with us this evening, first
19
    being from the Ohio Congressional delegation from
20
     Senator Voinovich's office, we have Kisha Fallon
21
    and Lisa Zellers. Thank you very much for coming.
22
              And from Representative Seitz's office,
23
    Krista Schweizer. Thank you very much.
24
              And over here in the corner, we have from
25
     the Eddie Rickenbacker Composite Squadron of the
0003
    Civil Air Patrol this group of young people who do
    neighborhood and park cleanup and emergency search
    and rescue and other things that benefit the local
```

society. And they are commanded by Lieutenant 5 Daniel Petrie. And we thank you for coming. 6 They represent the future of conservation 7 in our country. Thank you very much for being 8 here. 9 Now, I'd like to invite Dr. Jerry Bigham, 10 who is Director and Professor of the School of 11 Environment and Natural Resources in the College 12 of Food, Agricultural and Environmental Sciences 13 at Ohio State University, to come to the podium 14 for the welcome. 15 Dr. Bigham? 16 DR. BIGHAM: Thank you very much, 17 Mr. Seng. 18 Starting on behalf of the faculty, staff 19 and administration of The Ohio State University 20 and especially the College of Food and 21 Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, we want 22 to welcome each and every one of you here today, 23 and especially the dignitaries who are up here on 24 the podium with me at this time. 25 The conservation issues that are 0004 pronounced throughout the State of Ohio are 1 2. something that I think everyone in the audience is 3 aware of. We have a state with 11.4 million 4 people, 41,000 acres of land with a population 5 density of about 280 people per square mile. 6 We have urban centers such as Cleveland, 7 Columbus, Cincinnati, Dayton, Youngstown, Toledo, 8 and constant encroachment on prime agricultural 9 lands. I think we're one of five states in the 10 country with almost 50 percent of our land used as 11 agricultural land. 12 So there's constant friction and constant 13 conflict. Couple that with the fact that about one-third of the state is forested. It's a 14 patchwork system, if you will. At the beginning 15 16 of the century as little as ten percent of the 17 state was actually forested; in 1940, about 15 18 percent. 19 Today about a third of the state is 20 forested, and it's patchwork in the sense that 21 there are no very large contiguous areas of 22 forest. Both public and private lands are 23 incorporated into those forested wooded acreages. 24 So, again, management and conservation becomes a 25 very difficult concept to actually apply. 0005 1 Manufacturing in the state, I think we 2 rank third in the states for manufacturing. 3 look at almost any aspect of environmental 4 concerns, they are certainly expressed in this 5 state. As you may or may not know, a survey of 7 Ohio citizenry is done every two years by this

college. In 2004, I believe in ranking the

9 number-one issue in the minds of the citizens of this state was obesity. The second most prominent 10 concern is water quality. And water quality is, 11 as you well know, a major conservation issue for 12 13 14 I realize that there are many different 15 audiences representatives of many different 16 audiences here today. And I, by no means, would 17 say that Ohio State University and the college has 18 the market cornered on conservation and 19 conservation programs. That certainly is not the 20 case. But conservation is a very important topic, 21 venue for us to be concerned with in this college. 2.2 A few years ago, about ten years, in 23 fact, the leadership of the college introduced a 24 concept which it called an ecological paradigm. 25 And that concept was instituted in order to guide 0006 1 the college and its programs into the 21st century. 2 3 You can think about a college like ours 4 as one in which -- the tradition is one in food 5 production and certainly feeding the world is a 6 very noble enterprise. But it's been recognized 7 for some time now that production efficiency alone 8 is not enough. 9 In order to have a truly stable 10 enterprise in this state, about a \$79 billion 11 industry, we have to couple production efficiency 12 with economic viability and also with 13 environmental compatibility and social 14 responsibility. 15 And the emblem of this ecological 16 paradigm is in the form of a pyramid that 17 basically says a pyramid is a stable structure 18

because all four sides of a pyramid are equal. one becomes shorter than the other, then the ecological -- the pyramid and the ecological

21 paradigm collapse.

19

20

22

23

24

25

0007 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

So in its pure sense conservation is very much an issue of social responsibility. But it certainly cannot go forward without the other components of production efficiency, economic

viability and environmental compatibility.

So today is an opportunity which I think we're all looking forward to offer our concerns, our concepts, our ideas to the panel we have before us about how to advance conservation, in particular, quality conservation efforts within the State of Ohio.

So ladies and gentlemen, I hope you enjoy the session today. And certainly distinguished panelists, we're all very indebted and happy to have the opportunity to greet you this afternoon.

12 Thank you very much. 13 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you, Dr. Bigham. 14 I have a couple of other dignitaries I 15 neglected to mention. I apologize for that. We 16 have with us from the U.S. Department of 17 Agriculture and Natural Resources Conservation 18 Service, Terry Cosby, who is a state 19 conservationist. Terry, would you please rise right back there. Thank you for coming. 20 21 From the Ohio Department of Natural 22 Resources, the Director, Sam Speck. Sam, there he 23 is back there. 2.4 And also from Ohio DNR, the Chief of the 25 Division of Wildlife, Steve Gray. Steve would you 0008 stand in the back, also. Thank you all for 1 2 joining us. 3 Joining me on the podium to my left, to 4 your right, the Under Secretary of the Department 5 of Agriculture, Mark Rey. 6 Right here, the Assistant Administrator 7 of the Environmental Protection Agency, Ben 8 Grumbles. 9 And to my right, to your left, we have 10 the Chief of Staff of the Department of The 11 Interior, Brian Waidmann. 12 I'd also like to introduce and recognize 13 our Court Reporter, Deborah Roberts. And we have 14 two sign interpretors working right --15 Amanda Fannon-Schmidt. And Tracy Buhl in the 16 front here will be giving her a break throughout 17 the process. I thank them for being here. 18 I would like to give you a quick preview 19 of our agenda and the process we're going to 20 follow for tonight's session. First we'll have 21 some opening comments and very brief presentations by the folks here on the podium, and then we'll 22 23 move right to the reason why we're gathered here, 24 which is to listen to your comments on cooperative 25 conservation. 0009 1 The process we're going to follow is designed to let us hear from as many people as 2 3 possible and to give everyone a fair chance to be 4 heard. 5 As you came in this afternoon, you should 6 have received a little index card like this 7 (indicating). This is the order in which we're 8 going to be taking public comment at the mic is 9 the number that is written on this card. 10 If you did not receive one of these, you 11 can go back to the door and there's folks there 12 that can give you one. 13 When we get to the public comment portion

of the session, I'll be calling people in the

invite you to come to one of the two microphones

order of the numbers on these cards and will

14

15

16

17 here in the front.

2.4

And when you come, please speak your name and spell it, if you would, for the Court Reporter, unless it's immediately obviously how it's spelled. Please spell it so we can capture that correctly.

Please mention the city and state where you're from. And if you're representing an organization, please let us know what the

organization is.

And we do this having you come to the microphone for two reasons. Mostly so that everyone can benefit from the comments that you make and so that we can capture those correctly and accurately.

If you're not comfortable speaking in the public forum and you don't want to come to the mic and you have comments you'd like to make, you can send them in by regular mail, fax and e-mail, and that contact information is on this card. Or if you have things that you think of after you leave tonight and you want to make additional comments, please do send those in in one of those three ways.

If you brought written comments with you this evening, on the table that you passed as you came in, there's a box there. You can leave those with us. I want to mention that all of the ways that comments are taken will be weighted equally.

So if you choose to speak, that won't we weighted any more than someone who sent an e-mail in. So we're going to collect all the comments and treat them all the same.

It's very important that each speaker

limit their comments to two minutes. It's not much time, but we want to give everyone a chance to speak. And so that's our top priority, is to make sure that everyone has a chance.

So what I'm going to do when we get to that portion at the two-minute mark, I'm going to flash this card, and I will ask you at that time to wrap up. If you've not wrapped up at two minutes and thirty seconds, I'm going to cut you off.

And I apologize in advance for that, but really my job -- There's really two reasons why I'm here as a moderator, and I take them both very seriously. First is to keep everything moving so that everyone has a chance to speak. I will keep track of time from here to do that.

And second is to keep us all on topic and civil. It's not often that we have a chance to talk to high-level folks like this.

And on the back of the card you received there are five questions that -- really these

22 listening sessions which are occurring around the 23 country were designed to take input on these five 24 questions. 25 So they are very broad. So as long as 0012 1 you limit your comments to conservation, you will be fine. If you start talking off topic, then I 3 reserve the right to cut you off and get you back 4 on topic, and I apologize in advance for that. 5 The format for these meetings is a 6 listening session. It's not intended to be a 7 dialogue or a give and take and, therefore, we 8 won't be fielding questions from the podium, if 9 you have any. 10 This is set up to hear what you have to 11 say; however, I would like to acknowledge several 12 people who have joined us in the audience who will 13 be available at the break and after the session to 14 answer comments that you may -- or answer 15 questions that you may have. And those will be 16 Robin Thorson, who is the Regional Director for 17 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region 3. 18 She's right over here (indicating). And 19 Dr. Mary Knapp, who is the Field Supervisor of the 2.0 Ohio Field Office of the Fish and Wildlife 2.1 Service, and she's back by the door there. 22 So keep them in mind where they are. And if you have questions, please address them at the 23 2.4 break or afterwards. 25 It's now my privilege to get us into the 0013 presentation portion of the schedule, and I will 1 2 do that by first introducing the Chief of Staff of 3 the Department of the Interior, Brian Waidmann. 4 Brian. 5 MR. WAIDMANN: Thank you, and good 6 afternoon. I'm always impressed when I come to 7 8 events like these and I see people who commit 9 their time to spending an afternoon talking about 10 these issues. And you're here because it's 11 important. And we're just delighted to be here. 12 By way of introduction, I'm from 13 Colorado. The last time I was in Columbus, Ohio 14 was 35 years ago when I was a high school student. 15 And I don't know why you had to wait so long to invite me back. I hope I wasn't all that bad 16 17 those years ago. 18 But I had one of those memorable 19 experiences when I was here as a high school 20 student. I was from Colorado. I had lived my 21 entire life in Colorado and coming to Columbus, 22 Ohio was my first time going out of state.

I arrived here at the Columbus Airport,

drove up to the University of Ohio up in Athens,

got there about 4:00 o'clock. And I was attending

2.3

2.4

25

0014

a journalism conference for high school students. And the first session started at 5:00 and ended at 9:00 o'clock at night. And I remember walking out at night out of an indoor building, outside, and I saw something that I had never before seen. I was curious, as you could have anticipated. It was in the summer and it was at night. It was my first experience ever seeing fireflies.

2.0

 And I remember looking out in the tree-lined campus and seeing all this flickering of light. I couldn't figure out at the beginning what it was. I thought, gee, maybe I was sick or dazed or something.

And I finally -- I know this will sound -- I'm sort of embarrassed to admit it. I finally asked somebody, "What is that?" And they said, "What"? I said, "Those flickering lights." They thought I was nuts. "They're fireflies." I'd never seen them before.

I tell that story just because that's why we're here today. We're here to hear new perspectives, new ideas, new thoughts that we have never heard before.

24 I'll be honest, it wasn't until 25 Dr. Bigham mentioned in his remarks that at the

turn of the century, less than ten percent of Ohio was forested and now it's up to one-third is forest.

I haven't heard until this morning, until this afternoon, until Dr. Bigham spoke, that water quality is the second most important issue for Ohioans. Those sort of perspectives you can only hear if you get out of Washington and go hear them. That's why we're here.

And the story of how this came to be is a meeting that our respective bosses, the Cabinet Secretaries, had with President Bush in the cabinet room in the White House, and it occurred about two months ago. And Secretary Kempthorne was there, and there was to be a discussion about conservation policy.

And in a memorable moment the President interrupted the discussion and he said, "You know, I know all of you know what it is that you're talking about and are interesting and knowledgeable, but I'd like to do something different. I'd like you to go out before you talk to me about what you think we should do, I want you to go out and go listen to what Americans, what folks think across the country on

conservation issues, what they think about soil issues, water endangered species."

That was how this listening session got born, in the cabinet room with the President of the United States and his idea. And that's what

we're here to do. We are here to listen. We're here for you to tell us and to kind of breathe deeply into what you think we should hear. It's an open agenda.

I want to keep my comments brief so that we can listen. So my privilege -- and this is going to be the only opportunity that you have to hiss and boo for this afternoon -- is to introduce our next speaker who went to the University of Michigan. Worse, he was raised in Ohio, so how he can explain that is up to him.

Our next speaker is Mark Rey, who's the Under Secretary for Environment and Natural Resources. And has responsibility so vast that he's in charge of all of the national forests throughout the United States and foreign policy so important to Ohio.

Mark?

1 2

2.5

MR. REY: I'm not going to get into football. I know better, being a native Ohioan, 0017

so I'll just tell you all that I'm delighted to be here. The listening session that you're attending today is a continuation of an initiative that is the true embodiment of President Bush's vision and philosophy for conservation and environmental stewardship. It's called Cooperative Conservation.

In keeping with this philosophy, the President signed an Executive Order entitled "Facilitation and Cooperative Conservation" in August of 2004.

The order directed five federal agencies, including the Department of Agriculture in which I serve, as well the Departments of Commerce, Interior, the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Defense to implement laws related to the environment and natural resources in a manner that promotes cooperative conservation with an emphasis on local inclusion.

To further the implementation of that Executive Order, the President called for a White House conference on cooperative conservation, which was held in St. Louis just a week shy of a year ago. I recognize at least a few faces from people who attended.

That White House conference was, parenthetically, the first White House conference on a conservation-related topic in over 40 years. The previous one having been called during the administration of Lyndon Johnson. During that conference, the nation's leaders in conservation and environmental stewardship generated a wealth of suggestions and ideas for implementing the programs set forth in the Cooperative Conservation Executive Order. And I can assure you that many

of these ideas are being implemented across the federal government today.

We're here today to continue the dialogue that was begun in St. Louis. And to that end, we'd like to hear your thoughts on five separate topics. First, ways to help states, tribes, local communities, private landowners and other partners understand and better use the variety of environmental and conservation and regulatory and voluntary programs.

Second, ways to effectively coordinate among federal agencies, resource managers and local landowners and stakeholders to achieve conservation results.

Third, how to effectively include

non-federal partners in our decision making and alleviate disincentives for environmental stewardship.

Fourth, ways to effectively and better use science and scientific information to inform decision making. And, finally, mechanisms to resolve conflicts that exist in the requirements of federal laws.

On behalf of President Bush and Secretary of Agriculture, Mike Johanns, thank you for having me here today, and I look forward to hearing your thoughts.

But before that, we have one more member of the panel which is my pleasure to introduce, Ben Grumbles, Assistant Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency for Water programs. He's had a long and distinguished career as a public servant. Like me, he serves both the legislative branch of government, where I first came to know him; and now the executive branch of government, working for the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

I'd like to say that he is an alumnus of the University of Texas, to get myself off the

hook, but that wouldn't be true. He took his undergraduate degree in Wake Forest and his law degree at Emory University in Atlanta. And so, therefore, to the best of my knowledge, neither of his alma maters are involved in interscholastic competition at the present time with Ohio State. So, with that, Ben Grumbles.

 $\operatorname{MR.}$ GRUMBLES: Let the record reflect that Mark Rey's remarks were followed by Grumbles.

Well, you know, it is an honor to be here. I'm here for the Administrator -- I'm the Assistant Administrator of EPA -- to focus on water.

I know that water is -- as we're hearing, is on everybody's minds throughout the state,

throughout this great country. I do have to say just like Brian, it can be humbling and gratifying and educational to get out and hear from folks outside of the beltway in Washington, D.C.

2.0

2.3

2.4

1 2

One of the first times I did that, I went to a conference where I was supposed to give a speech about all that was happening in Washington, on Capitol Hill and government. And right before I did that, I went to the restroom. And on the wall somebody had taken a sticky and put it right

on top of the button, the button that you push to dry your hands after you've washed your hands.

And they put this sticky right on top of the button that said, "For urgent message from Washington, D.C., please press button."

I don't have an urgent message. We're here to listen. I will say that the President in charge of the EPA is accelerating environmental protection while maintaining our country's economic competitiveness. And so that's how we're charged.

The primary toolbox we use to advance that effort and to accelerate environmental protection is cooperative conservation. And that means a lot of things, but it means that the environment is everyone's responsibility. And we look for ways for innovative collaborative approaches, not confrontational top-down approaches.

There are three things I'll mention. One is the Administration has proposed legislation that seeks to do just that in the clean water arena. It's called the Good Samaritan Clean Watershed Act. It's pending in Congress.

We're excited about it because it retains 0022

the safeguards under the Clean Water Act to help clean up abandoned mine sites, but also provides incentives for truly good samaritans to step forward.

The second thing I'll mention is the Targeted Watershed Grants program. Under the President we've initiated a small seed grant program that is significant because it helps accelerate innovation through watershed protection.

I'm delighted to see the Miami River Conservancy here and also to acknowledge that Huff Run received a watershed grant not too far from here in the State of Ohio to spur innovation and make progress.

And the last thing along those lines is water quality trading. That's one of the EPA's areas of emphasis under cooperative conservation, water quality trading for water quality upgrading.

It's not about removing tools and

safeguards under the Clean Water Act. What it's about is trying to accelerate the pace of progress in restoring watersheds keeping in place the Clean Water Act tools, helping provide economic incentives so that those who don't have to and are 0023

not legally required to have incentives to step forward and help reduce runoff and other types of pollution.

I look forward to all your comments and like all of us, we really appreciate you being here. And I'll turn it back to you.

Thank you.

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. I thank all three of you again for being here to listen.

I mentioned some resource folks who are available to take questions at the break or afterwards, and I have another one to add. Her name is Mary Reddan. She's a Field Supervisor of the Wayne National Forest for the U.S. Forest Service. And she's back here in blue (indicating). She's another person you can turn to if you have questions.

Now, we're going to have three short presentations on some of the existing cooperative conservation efforts that are going on in the local area. And the first of those would be by John O'Meara, who is the Executive Director of the Franklin County and Columbus Area Metro Parks.

Mr. O'Meara?

MR. O'MEARA: Thank you.

2.

We appreciate you coming to Columbus today to hear our views and share thoughts on how we can better extend cooperative efforts.

Metro Parks -- I was asked to speak on behalf of Metro Parks. Metro Parks is a local agency here in Columbus, a local park agency, but we are focused on conservation. For those not familiar with the system in Ohio, we have recreational programs that are operated by the City and we have local conservation districts that are regional in nature and are actually special districts under Ohio law. So we are a park system that keeps 90 percent of our land set aside for natural area for wildlife habitat to perfect the environment.

Metro Parks has benefited in many ways through the years through cooperative partnerships. And my message to you today is continue to partnership. They are absolutely essential for the success of local agencies, for state agencies, for local landowners, local conservation organizations to have strong partners.

2.4

partnerships with local landowners, local conservation groups in the state, other local governments like ourselves and, of course, the federal government.

We have been -- Metro Parks has been fortunate to benefit from several different cooperative efforts with the federal government. We've done cooperative projects with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, restoring wetlands and protecting other habitat. We've got extensive efforts -- successful efforts with the National Restoration Conservation Service in restoring and improving wetland habitat in our parks.

We've worked cooperatively with Ohio EPA or U.S. EPA through various grant programs, the 319 program, and also other programs, local-, state-funded programs that are supported by federal dollars, as well.

These programs have helped us achieve conservation goals in many respects. They've helped us protect additional land, particularly land sensitive to rare species and endangered species. We are fortunate in many respects in central Ohio that we still have very healthy stream systems around here.

We talked about the difference between different parts of the country. And certainly the abundance of water here is different than what does exist out west and in other parts of our country we are blessed with an abundance of water here in central Ohio. I like to think of ourselves as being in the heart of the water belt.

Part of that abundance of water does give us the opportunity to protect many of the things that have lived there and many of the rare species that live -- remain still in Ohio are, in effect, depending on waters. They're depending on good quality wetlands and good quality streams.

Certainly Big Darby Creek just west of Columbus, you can be there in about 15 minutes, is designated as a state and national scenic river, so it's benefited from federal protection, as well as state protection. It has 40 threatened or endangered species living in and around the creek, including several heavily endangered species.

And so there is an area that Metro Parks has cooperated with the federal government, the state government and other local landowners and local groups to cooperate and hopefully protect so that hopefully 100 years from now those organisms

that share our home here in central Ohio continue
to share our home.

So we ask that the federal government remain a partner. I think to be a partner

5 requires several different aspects, one of which 6 is we do need a regulatory approach for certain 7 areas. The Clean Water Act is a good example. We 8 need to protect our water quality. Those sorts of 9 laws should be applied consistently.

We are very much dependent on good wetland protection laws, as well. We certainly hope the federal government will continue to help us protect wetlands.

We look for your support in other ways, as well, for educational activities, to give people the opportunity to come to these areas to enjoy them, to experience them. We do think it's important for people, if they're going to appreciate the natural areas that are being conserved, they have got to have an opportunity to experience them, so that's part of the picture, as well.

So with that in mind, I once again encourage all branches of the federal government to remain partners with our local state agencies,

landowners and local conservation groups as we work to try to protect the best of what remains here in central Ohio and throughout the state.

Thank you.

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you, Mr. O'Meara.

I thought you were going to come to the podium. I apologize for that. But the other two are welcome to come to the podium, if you like.

If you prefer to stand there, that's fine as well.

The second local conservation organization is represented by Margaret Ann Samuels, who is with the Friends of Alum Creek and Tributaries organization.

MS. SAMUELS: Thank you.

I want to thank you for coming to Columbus. The Friends of Alum Creek Tributaries, we call FACT, is one of at least seven watershed -- advocacy watershed organizations that are active in support of the well-being of the rivers and streams just in Franklin County, which is where we are right now.

FACT has used funding from the Section 319 program of the federal Clean Water Act to produce a watershed action plan that state and local agencies turn to for policies that protect

the watershed.

And as a watershed advocate, FACT supports strong environmental laws, including the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act especially, and forest protection laws. We do not want to see them replaced by voluntary programs.

FACT has sad experience with voluntary programs under which developers will chose the

10 least-cost and usually the least-effective
11 measures to offset or mitigate damage that
12 construction and development causes to streams and
13 wetlands in urban, as well suburban and formerly
14 rural areas in our watershed.

We want to be sure that working cooperatively does not mean weakening regulations that protect our water and forests and streams and wetlands and does not mean undercutting the protection of the Clean Water Act.

The federal government needs to give priority to the public interests in protecting our watersheds. And education is an essential tool for promoting cooperation with property owners and local government and voters.

Programs under Section 319 of the Clean

2.4

Water Act have been and continue to be extremely effective in promoting awareness, enhancement and protection of our watersheds. So many pollutants out there. FACT members spent many, many hours cleaning up litter on roadways and the streams just in our watersheds. And one of our members especially is forever promoting the notion that litter is a pollutant.

Alum Creek is an urban watershed. Urban areas present many special challenges for watershed protection, especially where rapid development is occurring.

FACT urges the Administration to increase emphasis on urban watersheds, particularly preservation of wetlands and riparian corridors, as well as support for grassroots organizations such as FACT.

We also would like to see stronger enforcement of the standards of Section 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act so that losses of water streams and wetlands in urban areas diminish or cease rather than simply transferring protection to centralized rural locations.

24 Urban residents need clean water, 25 riparian areas and wetlands as well. Instead, we

sometimes see developments that destroy and pave over wetlands and tributaries without permits, knowing that they will not really be held to account.

There are programs such as the Conservation of Resources Enhancement Program -- I hope I have that right -- that pay rural property owners to provide habitat conservation and preservation. I would like suggest that urban property owners could similarly benefit from such programs.

The Department of Interior administers the land and water conservation fund of federal, state and local matching grant programs that has

supported natural resource protection and outdoor recreation in virtually every area of the country since 1965.

2.2

2.3

1 2

I understand that local assistance through that program has recently been greatly curtailed in favor of the cooperative conservation initiative.

We work closely with the Recreation and Parks Departments of the cities with our watersheds, as well as with the Metro Parks, which kindly provides us a place to live. And public

access, trails and greenways that connect our parks and streams is a goal that we all share.

Local park departments are a great source of protection for urban watersheds, as well as making them available to the public. Programs that provide funding to accomplish that should not be curtailed.

Another critical area that FACT is involved with is municipal infrastructure for wastewater. As the sewer systems in our city age and system problems such as combined sewer overflow are identified, cities such as Columbus and the ratepayers in the city and the suburbs are incurring huge costs. The federal government needs to restore money for states to run out to

municipalities and grants for infrastructure improvements and restoration should be brought back.

These kinds of improvements benefit the entire populous through enhancing clean water, not only in the city but downstream, as well as drinking water.

Thank you for listening. We hope that listening also involves hearing.

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you, Mrs. Samuels.

I have been informed we have another resource person available to take questions, if you have any, and that is Jim Morris, who is District Chief of the U.S. Geological Survey. Jim, are you -- Thank you for coming.

The third and final local speaker will be Dusty Hall, who is the watershed coordinator of the Miami River conservancy.

Mr. Hall?

MR. HALL: Thank you.

Good afternoon. I'm actually the Manager of Program Development for the Miami Conservancy District. The Miami Conservancy District was established in 1915. It, too, was a special district under Ohio statute. Our boundaries hold 4,000 square miles of Great Miami watershed in southwestern Ohio.

Our core mission is the protection of

19 people and property from flooding. Efforts to
20 improve water quality and enhance recreation and
21 educate citizen and business leaders about water
22 resources complement our flood-protection
23 activities. So simply said, we're all about
24 water.

During the last three decades we have

seen tremendous water quality improvements in our watershed, primarily as a result of the Clean Water Act; however, on a river- and stream-mile basis, we remain about 40 percent short of state and federal water quality goals.

Like many rivers and streams in the U.S., nonpoint pollution from urban and rural land uses is among our top remaining challenges. Since over 80 percent of the land in our watershed use is for agricultural, nutrient management is near the top of those challenges.

So the Miami Conservancy District and our community partners began a discussion to explore ways to keep the nutrients in the farm fields. The Great Miami River Watershed Water Quality Credit Trading Program was born.

In a nutshell, through this program, state and federal regulators will allow some regulated wastewater treatment plants to substitute nonpoint source nutrient discharge productions for reductions at the plant.

This has a number of advantages. It may save the wastewater treatment plants money, and we fully expect it to yield environmental benefits that far exceed those available from upgrades into

wastewater treatment plants alone.

For example, more pollutants will be reduced. Peak stormwater flows will be mitigated, carbon will be sequestered, streams may benefit from the shade, wildlife habitat will be created, floodplain function will be restored, new wetlands created and in a similar capacity, the streams can

be increased through this approach.

Now, this program wasn't born overnight. Two years of discussions and over 100 meetings with active and widespread participation included, of course, the wastewater treatment plants. We have five plants right now that voluntarily, on a pilot basis, have put \$1.2 million on the table to explore this option.

I'd like to thank the USDA NRCS Conservation Innovation Grants Program, which awarded us a \$937,000 grant that was particularly timely and enabled us to stay on track with program development.

Ben, thanks for the target watershed grant, as well. That's not a prime player with

the trading program, but we're making good use of that in other ways.

We had ag producers from throughout the 0036

watershed at the table constantly and the soil and water conservation districts actually sponsor and bring forward the credit-generating projects.

We've got about 12 active FWCPs in the program.

The Ohio Farm Bureau Federation has been a great partner. The Ohio Environmental Council has partnered as well with us during program evolution. The Ohio Department of Natural Resources, the Dayton Area Chamber of Commence, last and certainly not least, the U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA who are providing the regulatory flexibility to make all this possible.

Now, the Miami Conservancy District and our partners all agree that innovative approaches should be adaptively implemented with the benefit of good science. So our trading program also funds a very aggressive nutrient-monitoring strategy that will result in the collection and analysis of over 2,500 nutrient samples annually from throughout our watershed.

The new data will help improve our understanding of our rivers and streams, help us make better decisions and, ultimately, shed some light on the efficacy of trading as an approach to achieving water quality goals.

2.1

2.2

These data have already demonstrated that the only fully approved TMDL, state and federally approved TMDL, our watershed is wrong; overestimating loading by about 400 percent and proffering unachievable load-reduction targets.

Now, our trading program is young. We have completed one round of funding for best management practices. Thirty projects have been funded across five counties. More than 36 tons of nutrient discharges have been eliminated to practices such as no-till, pasture seeding, prescribed grazing, the government's crop rotation, conversion of agricultural strips, at a cost of about \$93,000.

Unfortunately, some practices proposed for nutrient reductions could not be considered for funding in our program because the practices lack a standardized method for estimating pollutant reductions from the proposed practice. So the federal government, in particular the research capabilities of the NRCS, could help alleviate these shortfalls.

In closing, I'll suggest there may be a largely untapped mutual interest in water quality improvement that can produce exciting new

l partnerships and cooperative conservation. The

trading program I briefly described was made possible by tapping the enlightened and shared self-interests of the agricultural community and the wastewater industry.

On a greatly expanded scale I'd like to challenge those of you here today who imagine that urban and agricultural America share an untapped mutual interest in clean and healthy rivers.

Cities, our cities, in particular, are rediscovering their rivers as unique recreational and development assets that can offer a competitive advantage. If you don't believe that, go back, go to your search engine on the Internet and type in "riverfront development", and you will see about 200,000 results.

Water trails have -- connecting those development waterfronts will expand the experience and benefits in the future. Likewise, enlightened agricultural producers recognize that healthy, vibrant cities are essential to stemming further encroachment of housing subdivisions and to productive agricultural lands.

So urban and rural communities alike benefit from vibrant cities, the connecting

through it of healthy rivers. This, I think, might be the next frontier for cooperative

conservation.

2.0

Thank you very much.

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you, Mr. Hall.
Now, it's time for us to listen, to hear
what you have to say. And so I'm going to go
through again to the people that came in a little
late, I'm going to go through the rules we have
for keeping this under control.

When you came in, you got a little index card. It has a handwritten number on it. I'm going to call you to the microphone in that order. When I do call your number, please come to one of the microphones.

If it's not a problem, this microphone (indicating) will be preferable because it's closer to the Court Reporter and will help her to understand and make sure she captures everything correctly that you have to say.

We'd like you to give us your name, spell it, if it's not immediately obvious, the city and state where you're from, and if you're representing an organization, please state that, as well.

Again, if you're not comfortable speaking tonight and you want to send comments, there's written contact information on the card as to where you can send your comments after tonight and all the methods of input will be weighted equally.

Perhaps most importantly, at least in terms of giving everyone a chance to speak, is we ask that you keep your comments to two minutes. And at two minutes, I'm going to flash you this card. That means wrap up. If you're still speaking at two minutes and 30 seconds, I will ask you to please stop and cut you off so we can move on.

I understand that two minutes is not a long time, but in the interest of allowing everyone a chance and keeping the format the same as the other sessions that are happening around the country, that is the process.

And, finally, I guess if anyone is abusive or says things off topic, then I reserve the right to cut you off for that, as well. We haven't had that issue and we don't expect it tonight.

With that, I would like to call Nos. 1 25 through 5. If you would just line up at the mic.

You will have to stand there for a few minutes or sit close to a mic, but that way we can just keep people coming instead of having to wait for people to walk up, again, in the interest of time.

So if No. 1 come up and then 2 through 5 sort of line up or line up as No. 1 finishes, please.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WILSON: Good afternoon. I'd like the record to note that No. 1 is a Buckeye.

I want to thank the representatives from the various agencies for coming here today. My name is Mark Wilson and I am from Columbus, Ohio. I'm President of Land Stewards. And I would like to share with you some thoughts on water quality.

As one of the speakers mentioned today, water quality is important to Ohioans. We have seen to shift our discussion away from the end-of-pipe water quality and on to this thing people call nonpoint source pollution. Mainly, I give that as man's use of the land.

It seems to me our discussion regarding man's use of the land and how we may fix a problem has shifted into solutions when, in fact, we may have jumped over what indeed is a problem. The question of what is a problem seems to be one in

which we're not devoting enough of our time in addressing.

Mr. Grumbles, I believe your predecessor, Tracy Mehan was quoted as saying, "Although we have collected all sorts of data over the years, we cannot -- in a scientifically defensible way -- describe the quality of the U.S. waters today, quantify the progress made, and we cannot note what needs to be done."

I would interpret those comments to mean

we still don't have a good handle on what is the answer to the question: What is a water quality problem?

1 2

I would submit that we focus our limited resources, and I'm mainly talking public funding here, on real water quality problems, not perceived problems that are driven by headlines and people's emotions. I would submit that where agriculture is causing a water quality problem, we'll take care of it and we'll assume our responsibility in that way.

In closing, I'd like to add that the term "cooperative conservation" is something that's new to me. I have not heard that buzzword before, so I went online to look up what it means. And I

would like to read what I pulled off the Internet site on cooperative conservation. It says, "Cooperative conservation has many faces. Its principles are simple. It's voluntary and incentive-based; people associate together voluntarily to pursue common conservation goals."

I would hope that the comments that are shared today focus on collaboration and voluntary incentives and partnerships, and avoid the slippery slope of regulation and regulating man's use of the land.

Thank you.

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you.

Numbers 2 through 5.

MR. HOPPER: My name is John Hopper, H-o-p-p-e-r. I'm from Columbus, Ohio. I'm here representing the Columbus branch of the National Audubon Society.

For over 30 years, distinguished panelists, the Endangered Species Act has been a safety net for wildlife on the brink of extinction. The ESA has prevented extinction for 99 percent of those listed as endangered or threatened, including the American bald eagle, the gray wolf and Pacific salmon.

Sixty-eight percent of the species listed are stable or improving. A case in point is the reappearance of the American bald eagle in and around the central Ohio region.

Cooperative conservation, though important, only works because it begets strong regulatory requirements which gives the public assurance that species will not go extinct. Cooperative efforts are important, but are not a substitute for the safety net provided by the Endangered Species Act.

The ESA should be strengthened, not weakened or shortchanged. Full funding for listing, recovery, consultation and cooperative conservation programs of the ESA will allow a

16 strong and successful law to do more to save the 17 United States great national heritage. 18 Interior Secretary Kempthorne should 19 cancel the planned September lease in the 20 Teshekpuk Lake Special Area. Indeed, Congress and 21 three Department of Interior Secretaries have 22 previously recognized the ecological importance of 2.3 the Teshekpuk Lake area. 2.4 Drilling in the Teshekpuk Lake will not 25 solve the United States' energy problems and, 0045 1 indeed, drilling will fragment and damage one of 2 the most important wetlands in the polar artic. 3 Teshekpuk Lake is a natural treasure with 4 a unique and fragile wilderness that is home to an 5 incredibly diverse variety of migratory birds and 6 wildlife. 7 The wetlands surrounding Teshekpuk Lake 8 provide prime nesting or molting grounds for 9 waterfowl from three nations, nesting birds from 10 six continents, and the rare yellow-billed loon. Development of oil and gas fields in this 11 12 fragile habitat will harm these birds and other 13 wildlife, particularly the black brants that use 14 this area during their very sensitive molting 15 season. 16 We ask Secretary Kempthorne to recognize the importance of this area to wildlife and to 17 18 cancel the plans of lease sale in the Teshekpuk 19 Lake Special Area. 20 Thank you. 21 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 22 Number 3 or 5 -- 3, 4 or 5? 23 (No response.) 2.4 MODERATOR SENG: Numbers 5 through 10? 25 MR. MOORE: I'm No. 4. 0046 1 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 2 MR. MOORE: My name is Gary Moore of 3 Centerburg, Ohio. I'm representing the Nature Conservancy as an Agriculture Policy Specialist. 5 Cooperative conservation partnerships are 6 a cornerstone of the Nature Conservancy's work 7 around the world. The Nature Conservancy applauds 8 the administration's cooperative conservation 9 grant programs that provide agencies the 10 opportunities to work in collaboration with private landowners. Examples of such programs 11 12 include the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services Endangered Species Fund, Landowner Incentive 13 14 Program and private stewardship grants. 15 We urge the administration to continue to 16 expand centers for private land conservation, 17 including the multiple opportunities available in 18 reauthorizing the 2007 Farm Bill. 19 For example, the Scioto River CREP is a

20 model of conservation partnership. Since inception in 2005, 49,000 acres of conservation 21 22 practices have been requested and the permit 23 conservation easement component has provided a framework for conservation partners to develop 24 25 working relationships with many conservation 0047 1 agencies and organizations and, in particular, as 2 landowners under the state's Clean Ohio Fund to 3 leverage funding for conservation easements and 4 priority watersheds. 5 We would encourage funding for CREP 6 monitoring and assessment of conservation results 7 and also provide incentives for contiguous 8 landowners that lack habitat protection for 9 threatened species and targeted watersheds. 10 Additionally, demand for the Wetland 11 Reserve Program here in Ohio exceeds funding by 12 three-to-one. We encourage expansion of WRP to 13 provide permanent protection for wetlands and for 14 riparian corridors targeted towards the highest 15 quality watersheds. This will include expanding 16 the Wetlands Reserve Enhancement Program, for Ohio 17 is one of only five states that chose to participate this year. 18 19 Finally, the next Farm Bill should have 20 provisions that limit conservation of forest and 21 rangeland and expand permanent easement programs. 2.2 We would also like to mention regarding 23 the question on the best use of science that for 24 over 20 years Ohio EPA has developed and used a 25 model program of water quality monitoring using 0048 1 habitat by diversity rather than just chemical 2 analysis. 3 This program should be used as a model 4 for other states and these waters should receive top priority for protection through the 319 grants 5 6 and funded programs. 7 Thank you very much. 8 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. MR. WAIDMANN: I just didn't quite 9 understand, you mentioned 49,000 acres. Can you 10 11 just explain that a bit? 12 MR. MOORE: The target for the 13 conservation practices for the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program is 70,000 acres here 14 in Ohio. And since 2005, there have been very 15 close to 49,000 acres, offered acres now that 16 17 private landowners have stepped forward to 18 voluntarily contribute or participate in this part 19 of the program. 20 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 21 Number 5 or 6, 7? 2.2 Okay. Number 6. 23 MR. WETZLER: Good afternoon. 2.4 My name is Andrew Wetzler, W-e-t-z-l-e-r.

25 I'm here on behalf of the Natural Resources 0049 1 Defense Council and our over 250,000 members and 2 activists in the midwest. Thank you all very much 3 for coming out here and having these sessions. 4 NRDC has been working to conserve this 5 nation's environment for the last 35 years, and we 6 strongly support the use of incentives and 7 cooperative conservation in order to further those 8 protections. 9 But we learned a few lessons over the 10 last 35 years, and one of the lessons we've 11 learned is that cooperative conservation and 12 incentive-based programs cannot work without the 13 presence of clear, strong regulatory standards to 14 set a baseline. 15 In short, you cannot have a functioning 16 system which is voluntary in the state. It is 17 these standards that have made laws like the 18 Endangered Species Act and the Clean Water Act and 19 many of our other foundational bedrock 20 environmental laws such a success. 21 Just take the Endangered Species Act as an example. We know, as it's been mentioned, that 22 2.3 the longer a species is listed and protected under 2.4 the Federal Endangered Species Act, the more 25 likely the Department of Interior is to conclude 0050 1 that that species is stable or improving, which is 2 why the best thing that the Division of Wildlife 3 service can do, that the President can do, is 4 provide the Department of Interior and service 5 with adequate funding for listing and other 6 activities under the Endangered Species Act. 7 Too often the Department itself is 8 hamstrung by its lack of ability to respond 9 effectively and timely to the many, many forms of 10 wildlife in Ohio and around the country, which are 11 in need of protection under the Endangered Species 12 13 Adequate funding also benefits 14 landowners, as well. I think very often 15 landowners justifiably complain that the Fish and 16 Wildlife Service is slow to respond to things such 17 as Section 7 consultations under the Act. 18 too, is because of the inadequate funding. 19 So, in conclusion, I very much would like 20 to echo the comments of the Nature Conservancy and the National Audubon Society and the Friends of 21 22 Alum Creek, and emphasize that cooperative 23 conservation cannot be a substitute for clear 24 regulatory standards. We need to keep our safety 25 net of environmental protections like the 0051 1 Endangered Species Act in place. 2 Thank you. 3

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you.

Number 7 or 8?
MR. BROWN: Number 8.
Good afternoon. I'm
broker with Irongate Realtors

Good afternoon. I'm Steve Brown. I'm a broker with Irongate Realtors in Dayton, Ohio. On behalf of the Realtors in Ohio and the National Association of Realtors, I am pleased to have this opportunity to discuss cooperative conservation and share the perspective of the real estate community.

Realtors want to work with the Department of Interior to develop pragmatic solutions that protect and conserve our natural resources while protecting private property rights.

Implementation of the Endangered Species Act has wide-ranging impacts on the property owners' ability to use their land. Real dialogue on cooperative conservation must include, if not begin with, reform of the ESA.

I encourage the Interior Department to make changes to the ESA in 2006 so both landowners and conservation efforts may reap the benefits of these changes.

2.

Reform of the ESA could increase opportunities for voluntary conservation by better coordinating the existing conservation grant programs, encouraging greater voluntary participation in conservation programs, providing technical assistance to support voluntary conservation efforts, making agency review of these programs more efficient, and ensuring that no surprise guarantees apply to voluntary conservation agreements.

Another aspect of ESA that concerns Realtors involves the ESA's decision-making process and the extent to which regulatory decisions are based on best available science.

Ways to ensure these activities are incorporated into the ESA's process could include ensured compliance with the Data Quality Act in all ESA decisions; two, improve the data requirements for listing petitions in critical habitat regulations and designations, ensure that all data is made available for review by the public, and review and refine procedures, information, requirements and criteria for classifications of endangered species.

Realtors believe cooperative conservation

must also involve reform of critical habitat designations. Consistent rules, policies and procedures for designating critical habitat should be established.

In addition, proposed critical habitat designations should undergo a rigorous and comprehensive cost/benefit and economic impact analysis to determine how the true cost of the

9 designation and how the designation will impact 10 local communities and economics.

Finally, these designations must undergo periodic evaluations to assess the value of the designations on helping recover species.

To conclude, the real estate community supports timely action by the administration in bringing updates and improvements to ESA that one, make it easier for landowners, businesses and other organizations to protect endangered species; two, respect the needs of private property owners; and, three, encourage collaborative conservation that ultimately and equally benefits communities, citizens and endangered species.

Cooperative conservation that exists on voluntary, incentive-based collaboration at the local level will create the kinds of innovative,

practical policy solutions that will protect and preserve our country's national heritage. I want you to know that Realtors stand by to help in this effort.

Thank you.

MODERATOR SENG: Number 9.

MR. SHARP: Hi. My name is Adam Sharp, the Director of National Affairs for the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation and also formerly an EPA official, worked with the Office of Pesticide Programs in the EPA right around the corner from Mr. Grumbles. I also acted for a while as the Agriculture Advisor to the EPA administrator at the time Mike Leavitt.

Actually, I'd like to share -- And Ben probably wouldn't let me leave the stage unless -- I know he's already tired of me talking about pesticides, but I have to take a minute or two to talk about pesticides in regards to cooperative conservation.

A lot of times we point to programs, federal programs, state programs, et cetera, that we ask for more money. We ask for improved programs, new programs, expanded programs. The Farm Bureau is just as guilty as many others in

asking for more money for more programs.

I think one of the solutions, though, one of the things that you learn through the Food Quality Protection Act -- and this was the nation's new pesticide law that was passed in 1996. One of the things -- There's several lessons, I think, that came out that were a great success for both the government and for stakeholders.

10 A few themes: First, public outreach.
11 We had -- What had happened in 1996 was passed a
12 new law that required review of all the pesticides
13 laws in the country to new standards. And a

14 number of folks, agriculture, environment groups and others, saw a train wreck coming, one way or 15 the other, either for the environment or for 16 17 farmers. 18 Ultimately what happened was the 19 government stepping up to the plate, if you will, 2.0 the USDA, the EPA, taking the time to put together 21 advisory groups, stakeholders, folks put together 22 a process that everybody understood and it was 23 transparent. 24 The second point was that the government 25 followed through. What was developed in that 0056 1 process through community involvement, stakeholder 2 involvement, was stuck to. The USDA the EPA stuck 3 to the process and walked through the system for 4 ten years. 5 It was an arduous process that ultimately 6 ended on time and under budget. Now, for a government program, that doesn't happen too often. 8 But it ended on time and under budget just about 9 three weeks ago on August 3, 2006. 10 It also demonstrated intergovernmental 11 cooperation, work between EPA and the USDA. 12 example, when there was risk that was discovered with a product, EPA had to cancel a product. 13 14 would work with USDA to find replacements for 15 agriculture. 16 It was a simple system. Everybody 17 understood the system, and it was simple. Too 18 many times we create too complicated of a system. 19 Last, but not least, the point I would 20 like to make is EPA has an agricultural liaison. 21 I guess I would like to make a recommendation that 22 perhaps USDA consider having an environmental 23 liaison. Somebody who can act as a point person. 24 Somebody who can take comments, receive comments 25 and coordinate any discussions and activities with 0057 1 the other environmental agencies. 2 Too many times it's difficult to find 3 somebody within USDA that can handle those kind 4 of issues that come from the Department of 5 Interior and other departments. 6 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you for your 7 comments. 8 Number 10? 9 MR. DORAN: Good afternoon. Thank you 10 for the opportunity to address you. 11 My name is Scott Doran, D-o-r-a-n, from 12 the law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, 13 here in Columbus, Ohio, representing commercial 14 and residential development interests for about 20 15 years now on a variety of environmental issues, 16 including, of course, wetlands and endangered

17 species protection. 18 I am going to hate myself in the morning, 19 but I'm actually going to echo a theme that the 20 gentleman from the NRDC brought up, which is clear 21 and consistent regulations, timely 22 decision-making. That's the difficulty that my 23 clients face day in and day out in getting a 2.4 decision out of the government. 2.5 They want to know what the guidelines 0058 1 are. They want to know how much it is going to 2 cost. They want to know how long it's going to 3 take. And I have got to tell you, I have been doing this for 20 years, and I still can't give 5 you an answer to any of those things because it's 6 different every time. 7 It depends on who you're working with, 8 what core district you're working with, what U.S. 9 EPA representative you're working with. It's 10 extraordinarily difficult to make meaningful 11 business decisions to allow these folks to provide 12 the housing that people need at an affordable 13 price. 14 They need guidelines. They need to be 15 They need timely decisions. Currently enforced. 16 it can take well over a year to get a permit out 17 of the Buffalo District of the Army Corps of 18 Engineers. A year. After you've gone through a 19 year's worth of zoning, planning, approvals, then you go get your permit and it's, "Well, it's 20 21 probably going to be about a year." 22 We had an endangered species issue where 23 there were -- my client was informed we're 24 concerned about the Indiana brown bat, but we've 25 run out of funding. We can't get out there for 0059 1 six months. 2 They can't sit on a piece of property for 3 six months while this gets resolved. Clear, 4 consistent and timely decisions, that's what we 5 need to make this work. 6 Thank you. 7 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. Number 11 and 12? If Nos. 12 and 13 8 9 would be on deck and be ready to come forward. MS. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon. 10 11 I'm Marcie Williams, M-a-r-c-i-e, Licking County, Croton, Ohio. I'm a farmer and Past 12 13 President of Ohio Agri-Women and presently Vice 14 President of American Agri-Women. 15 I'd like to thank you for allowing us to 16 make our comments this afternoon. And I would 17 like to read some of the policies that American 18 Agri-Women have concerning land conservation and 19 endangered species. 20 Our natural resources -- The government

agencies that make decisions regarding natural

21

resource industries need to be held accountable for their decisions. There should be specific timetables, efficiency reports, cost of implementation, balancing the farms and effects of

no management actions, and water quality.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21 22

23 24

25 0061

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

AW supports the national water quality policy on nonpoint source pollution that gives states the control to develop and manage water quality programs specific to the state's own watershed issues.

Programs under the Clean Water Act should promote the use of voluntary best management practices by rural landowners, agricultural producers and urban natural resource users.

And wetlands, AW objects to public funds supporting easements for buyouts to nonprofit groups for large-scale wetland restoration that removes agricultural land from local tax rules and other local economic activities.

And endangered species, we require and ask the use of sound, verifiable and peer-reviewed science for making decisions.

We acknowledge extinction as a natural process of evolution and would like to pursue the recovery of only significant species.

We'd like to ensure protection of property owners from regulatory takings by local, state and national agencies. If property is taken, owners must be fully compensated for loss

of property at fair market value, for loss of income and for expenses.

We would require feasible recovery plans and selection of recovery measures that are most cost effective and have the least negative social and economic impact.

And for personal remarks, I would also like to say that we would like to have agency programs that work for people that have already started their programs working in land conservation. We were unable to receive any financial assistance because we had already started stuff ourselves.

Thank you so much for being here today. MODERATOR SENG: Thank you.

Number 12?

MR. WANLESS: Good afternoon.

My name is Brock Wanless. I'm the Director of Government Affairs for the Ohio Home

19 Director of Government Affairs for the Ohio H 20 Builders Association. That's W-a-n-l-e-s-s.

Good environmental laws are an important starting point for cooperative conservation. Unfortunately, today's laws do not protect the environment in the most efficient or effective way. Regulations are rarely admittable or

0062 1 collaborative or market-based solutions. There are three important programs that 3 can deliver positive results that would improve 4 cooperation and look forward to the market, the 5 Endangered Species Act, the Federal Storm Water 6 Program and the Federal Wetlands Program. 7 The Endangered Species Act is over 30 8 years old and is not working. Today less than one 9 percent of 1,300 species that have been listed 10 have been recovered. 11 Despite this dismal track record, the 12 USDA continues to dictate what private property 13 rights may -- what private property may be used. 14 The time has come to update and improve 15 the ESA. In fact, improvements to the Act are 16 long past due. Because 90 percent of all listed 17 species are located on private lands, there must 18 be a renewed effort to find cooperative, 19 incentive-based solutions if real progress is to 20 be made. 21 First, the data and science on which 22 decisions are made must be improved. 23 Second, limitation of Section 7 2.4 consultation requirements must be streamlined. 25 Third, critical habitat guidance must be 0063 1 developed to ensure that open and consistent 2 designation process is followed. 3 Finally, opportunities for voluntary 4 conservation efforts must be increased. 5 The second program I'd like to highlight 6 is the NPDES Stormwater Program. The current 7 stormwater regulations are complex, confusing, 8 costly and oftentimes duplicate the efforts of 9 state and local governments. 10 Furthermore, the lack of compliance, 11 assistance and the agency's overbearing focus on 12 punitive enforcement reduces the program's 13 legitimacy and thereby its effectiveness. The 14 following suggestions could improve the water 15 quality while facilitating compliance. 16 First, the permit program must be 17

streamlined and simplified by duplicate permit requirements.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0064

Second, the EPA and the states should collaborate to develop consistent enforcement policies that focus on environmental protection.

Third, watershed partnership programs should be developed to facilitate innovative and improved long-term compliance. Clearly stormwater regulations that are well coordinated, simple and

1 fair will encourage compliance and, in the end, do more to protect rivers and streams than the 3 current system.

Finally, I'd like to touch on an

opportunity presented by the Clean Water Act, Section 404, Wetlands Program. The Wetlands Program in process is lengthy, difficult and 7 continues to be filled with confusion and 9 uncertainty. To elicit better cooperation between 10 the federal government and property owners, 11 administrative quidance must be issued to clarify 12 which waters and wetlands are subject to federal 13 jurisdiction. 14 The program must also be reformed to 15 streamline the permit process and provide 16 regulatory incentives for landowners who take 17 steps to protect wetlands. 18 MODERATOR SENG: I'm sorry. That's all 19 your time. If you have additional comments, you 20 may put them in the box. 21 MR. WANLESS: I'm finished. 22 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 23 Number 13. 24 MS. MARIDA: My name is Patricia Marida, 25 M-a-r-i-d-a. I belong to a number of 0065 environmental organizations including the Sierra 1 2 Club and watershed organizations including the 3 Friends of Alum Creek of whom Margaret Ann Samuels 4 spoke of earlier, but tonight I'm speaking as a 5 private citizen. I want to talk about externalization of 7 costs. And we're asking why the Bush 8 administration is seeking to weaken the Clean 9 Water Act and the Endangered Species Act. Is it in order to reduce the costs? In other words, 10 11 increase the confidence of industry. 12 The cost of goods or energy produced 13 should include the cost of leaving the environment 14 as clean as it was before the product was produced 15 and include the cost of leaving the health of 16

workers as good as it was before the product was produced.

Leaving the environment and the health of workers in a wreck after producing a product is called externalization of costs. If we want a product such as electricity, the public should not have to pay for it with our health. We should not have to pay for it by seeing our beautiful places become destroyed and degraded.

And to finish, that more jobs are

25 0066 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

2.4

available in areas of the country where the environment has been kept in good shape. The value of aesthetics and living in beautiful places is beyond measurement and beyond measurement of cost data.

In Ohio and across the country, citizens are paying for the cleanup, if it is really possible to clean it up, that is, of nuclear and Superfund qualifying and other contaminations left 10 by bankrupt industries. 11 Now, how is this saving the public money? 12 Many areas have been degraded to the point where 13 it would take hundreds of years for them to be 14 restored to their original quality. You have to 15 wait, and we'll have to pay. Yes, goods will cost 16 more money but, in actuality, in some cases, this 17 has shown companies can save money at the same 18 time that they protect the environment. 19 But if we want a product, we must be 20 willing to pay the full cost of its production. 21 So I am here to say that I am willing to pay for 22 the full cost of the products that I need and use. 23 I'd like to talk about some other 2.4 specifics, particularly the land and water 25 conservation fund that Margaret Ann Samuels 0067 1 referred to. Currently, the federal and the state 2 assistance programs are fighting for survival. 3 And we want cooperative conservation as we give support for this fund. 5 And the Bush administration has also 6 proposed selling off our national parks, and 7 that's -- the money raised by selling our parks 8 would be used to pay the debt to the federal 9 government. And the creation of the parks was 10 made possible by the cooperative work and support of the American public over the past hundred 11 12 years. 13 MODERATOR SENG: That's your time. 14 MS. MARIDA: Thank you. 15 MODERATOR SENG: Number 14? Numbers 14, 16 15, through 20? Are you No. 15, sir? 17 MR. ACTON: Number 16. 18 MODERATOR SENG: Number 16. 19 MR. ACTON: Hello. My name is Bill Acton spelled, A-c-t-o-n. I'm an environmental 20 scientist from Columbus, Ohio representing the 21 22 Central Ohio Building Industry Association, the 23 National Association of Home Builders. 24 We're concerned with the recent U.S. Army 25 Corps of Engineers extension of jurisdiction in 0068 1 agricultural areas that were previously determined 2 to be, quote, "private" under the memorandum of 3 understanding between the Corps of Engineers and 4 the Natural Resources Conservation Service. 5 This memorandum of understanding was 6 mutually terminated by the Corps and the NRCS last 7 year, leaving the Corps with the responsibility of 8 determining the extent and jurisdiction of 9 wetlands in attentatively and actively farmed 10 areas. 11 Regulatory Guidance Letter 82-02 states 12 that "Many areas of wetlands converted in the past 13 to other uses would, if left unattended for a

sufficient period of time, revert to wetlands solely through the devices of nature. However, such 'normal circumstances' are not what is meant by 'normal circumstances' in the definition quoted above. 'Normal circumstances' is determined on the basis of actual, present use of an area. Thus, it is the Corps' policy that once a wetland 2.1 has been converted to another use which alters its 2.2 wetland characteristics to where it is no longer a, quote, 'water of the United States' that area will no longer come under the Corps' regulatory jurisdiction," end quote.

The Regulatory Guidance Letter 82-02 was released in 1982, it was reaffirmed, and is still applicable today in May of 2005. I note that in an area its present use must exhibit the three characteristics of the Army Corps of Engineers' definition, that being hydric soils, wetland hydrology, and hydrophyte vegetation.

The Corps has recently informed the regulated community that areas of mass hydric soils contained within active agricultural fields only need to exhibit one of the three characteristics. This document has been extrapolated from the 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual.

The Corps has asserted that human activities, including destruction of vegetation and disturbance of soils resulting from plowing justify this approach. The areas I speak of are continuously farmed at present and were farmed well before the Clean Water Act; however, were showing signs of wetland hydrology due to failing or aged field plows.

This is of particular concern in central Ohio and many other agricultural regions as many field plow systems are aging and beginning to

fail.

The Corps has also asserted that recent crop failures in those areas indicate jurisdictional wetlands. Three of the last five years of aerial photography indicate signatures of moist soils or stressed crops.

My concern as an environmental scientist is that agricultural wetlands have been converted prior to the Clean Water Act, which are now beginning to revert from lack of maintenance and field plow systems are becoming jurisdictional. They're subject to the same regulation as undisturbed wetlands. These areas serve little or no environmental benefit and certainly do not fall within a no net-loss policy.

16 Furthermore, as a consultant to the HUD 17 building industry, I'm concerned that these areas of little or no environmental benefit cost between 30- and \$50,000 an acre, and result --MODERATOR SENG: Your time is up. sorry. Number 17, 18, 20, 20 through 25? What number, sir? MR. INGLIS: Number 21. 2.5 Hello, my name is Jim Inglis,

I-n-g-l-i-s, from Upper Sandusky, Ohio. And I'm Regional Wildlife Biologist for Pheasants Forever here in Ohio. And I'm going to talk a little bit about Farm Bill Programs and some programs here that have worked very well.

I'd like to talk about the conservation delivery programs and some of those partnerships here. We've had some challenges here promoting and implementing some conservation programs because there's been a lot of programs that we've had to deal with, we hear almost 50,000 acre numbers in a year-and-a-half here in Ohio.

And a lot of times there's other programs that are going on at the same time, so having adequate people on the ground to promote and implement programs can be a concern.

Last year we had meeting with the Ohio Division of Wildlife and NRCS to address some of these and to get more technical assistance on the ground to aid landowners and agencies. The answer was to pull together some state and local funds and match them with federal technical assistance dollars to put what we call Farm Bill biologists in areas where they were needed most.

There's no question that if you have 0072

people on the ground that can visit landowners in the field, you're going to get more and better conservation done. By partnering and matching federal and state, local funds, we're able to get more done with less. If we get more opportunities like this, then we can have multiple partnerships pulling this together.

The second thing I'd like to talk about is a project that would benefit broader cooperation among agencies is the management of the double-crested cormorant. Our population of this nesting water bird exploded in recent years. These birds nest on critical islands in the Great Lakes and they're actually destroying them in some cases.

Ohio has begun an aggressive management program to reduce cormorant populations to protect habitats for state endangered species. Two federal agencies, USDA's Wildlife Services and the Interior's Fish and Wildlife Services along with several state agencies have worked together to

22 draft this plan. 23 There is an urgent need for federal and 24 state agencies to address this issue on a regional 25 level. Over 6,000 cormorants were removed from 0073 1 Lake Erie, and there's 32 nesting pairs just north in Lake Michigan. Every few weeks these birds are 3 going to migrate and continue to cause problems. 4 Thank you. 5 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you for your 6 comments. 7 Number 22? 8 MR. SPECK: Sam Speck, Director of the 9 Ohio Department of Natural Resources. I have 10 submitted comments in written form and so I'll try to be short and listen to the good things we're 11 12 hearing from others. 13 We do appreciate the opportunity for 14 dialogue on cooperative conservation and 15 environmental partnerships. We could spend a good 16 bit of time this afternoon talking about all the 17 progress we are making working together on a 18 number of fronts, on water and wildlife and soil 19 and the like, but there also are a number of 2.0 challenges and some of those challenges are 2.1 growing. 22 The challenge of megafarms, which in many 23 respects we support, that also brings new 2.4 challenges to the environment. 25 The challenges of ethanol, which we 0074 1 strongly support here in Ohio, but in terms of 2 water use and in terms of more farming creates new environmental challenges if not properly managed. 3 4 Urban dispersal provides new 5 opportunities for our population. It also carries 6 with it challenges with respect to wildlife, 7 nonpoint source and the like. 8 And now we're seeing a massive show of 9 private forests here in Ohio that has been taking 10 place and with that the threat of 11 fractionalization of those forests and the 12 problems that can come out that, so we're 13 particularly pleased with the support of the 14 Forest Legacy Program. 15 In respect to the first question that you 16 asked, we would strongly identify with the 17 preference for voluntary incentive-based programs. Programs such as EQIP, CREP, and CRP, Section 319, 18 19 the Forest Legacy Program and Farm Bill 20 conservation initiatives. That does not mean that 21 we oppose the regulatory programs that we think 22 are necessary in partnership, although there are a 23 number of cases deserving of change. In respect to the second question how we 25 can enhance cooperation among federal agencies and

0075

with state and local communities of environmental 2 protection and the like, we would simply say that 3 many programs could be better focused for local conditions and priorities. Now, I'm going to use 5 as examples the red flag has been shown, and 6 you'll see that in my formal testimony which 7 you've already received. 8 Thank you. 9 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 10 Number 23? 11 MR. WOLFINGER: Hello. Jake Wolfinger, 12 W-o-l-f-i-n-g-e-r. I'm from Lancaster, Ohio, and 13 I'm on behalf of the Ohio Cattlemen's Association. 14 I would like to thank the Administration for 15 recognizing cattlemen as stewards of the land. 16 Working ranchers maintain open spaces and 17 cattlemen have an inherent interest in 18 conservation of land and water resources. 19 thank you for recognizing our industry as ready 20 and willing partners in conservation. 21 Along these lines there are a few things 22 we continue to need help with. The loss of calves to black-headed vultures is a growing predatory 23 24 problem in Ohio. We appreciate the USDA Wildlife 25 Service's efforts to speed up the permitting 0076 1 process for vulture control. In some areas, however, we need more 2 3 active management of vulture populations. When 4 swarms of birds actively attack cow herds and take 5 calves, there should be a stronger remedy than the 6 lengthy permit process and limited management 7 currently available. Please help us to get 8 control of these areas to effectively control 9 black-headed vultures. 10 The Cattlemen actually await the issues 11 of new regulation for implementation of the 12 Endangered Species Act. Producers need the 13 regulatory certainty that these regs can provide. 14 We understand that Deputy Secretary 15 McArthur's office has spent a great deal of time 16 on the ESA regs, and we look forward to their 17 releases. 18 Thank you. Thank you. 19 MODERATOR SENG: 2.0 Number 24? 21 MR. PORTER: My name is Malcolm Porter, 22 M-a-l-c-o-m P-o-r-t-e-r, Associate Member of the 23 Building Industry Association of Central Ohio and 24 National Association of Home Builders. 25 Briefly, I would echo the comments made 0077 1 earlier about clear and concise decision-making and to those who are concerned about the ultimate hammer, the process itself is a significant hammer to those who are in our business. But allow me to

suggest briefly a couple of other thoughts for

6 your consideration. 7 Why do property owners and home builders ultimately care about costs? Don't they just pass 8 those costs along? The reality in central Ohio is 9 10 this: That for every \$1,000 increase in the cost 11 of a new home, 2,195 central Ohio households will 12 no longer qualify for a mortgage. A \$1,000 13 increase in the cost of a home, 2,100 central Ohio households fall below lending qualifications by 14 15 the FHA for a 30-year, \$150,000 mortgage, which is 16 generally the entry level price in our community. 17 We care not only what it costs in direct 18 terms, but we care about what it costs to our 19 customers, which are ultimately the homeowners in 2.0 our community. 21 I would ask if any of you happened to 22 pick up a newspaper today on your way in from the 23 airport and you saw the lead story on exclusionary 24 zoning in our suburban communities. People can no 25 longer afford to work in those communities as 0078 public employees, government employees, because of 1 2 the cost of a home. 3 The number one issue that drives costs in 4 our suburban new-built environment is the use of 5 land. And so my suggestion to you for your 6 cooperative conservation program would be a 7 program that would work with the local officials 8 around the issues of density. 9 We continue to use more and more land in 10 our new-built environment over our existing 11 environment. Certainly development interests and 12 conservation interests share in common the issue 13 of density as a way to take demand out of the 14 system for land that causes challenges, that 15 whichever side of this perspective you bring, we 16 should share in common. Thank you. 17 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 18 19 Number 25? 20 MR. WOLPER: Good afternoon. My name is 21 Eric Wolper, and I'm a grain farmer from 22 Fayetteville, Ohio. I'm representing the Ohio 23 Farm Bureau. 2.4 MODERATOR SENG: First, Spell your last 25 name. 0079 1 MR. WOLPER: W-o-l-p-e-r. 2 First, I'd like to thank Chief of Staff 3 Waidmann, Under Secretary Rey, and Administrator Grumbles for providing this opportunity to express 4 5 my concern as an Ohio agricultural producer and 6 landowner regarding the need for cooperation and

The private sector has taken the lead in the development of markets for trade in pollutant

collaboration amongst federal and state

governments and the private sector.

7

8

9

10

credits. The promise to create a system for the development of pollutant credits for sale, as a result, enhances and improves the overall quality of our water and air.

Multiple innovative approaches are being piloted across the country, including Ohio's Miami Conservancy District effort which we heard about earlier today. Essential to the success of these pilot programs is creating a flexible environment that allows the industry to both develop and discover what approaches are most effective. Cooperation, communication and coordination between federal and state governments must occur to conclude well-intended actions from hindering or stopping the development of this industry.

We ask the federal government to continue to reinforce the message that credit trading programs are programs it wants to use and will allow them to work.

States, in coordination with the federal government, should provide an environment that provides flexibility and latitude to allow the private sector to learn what does and does not work and refrain from developing a regulatory system that precludes innovation and participation.

As the private sector strives to develop this industry, it is identifying the contribution of various conservation practices to the reduction or pollutants in our air and water.

As a result, scientifically based estimates and measurements of pollutant reductions are being attributed to specific practices installed in certain conditions. The creation of these de facto pollutant credits for individual conservation practices will be essential to the creation of these pollutant credit trading markets.

Government can greatly assist development of these markets by validating specific industry

measurements of the pollutant reduction achieved by these conservation practices.

NRCS would be an ideal agency to provide a non-biased assessment of the value of these conservation tools for purposes of the credit trading.

In short, cooperative conservation should include governmental collaboration with the private sector that protects the industry from stress and rigor within flexible rules and offers assistance in providing non-biased assessment of private sector efforts to quantify the contribution and conservation practices to the pollution reduction.

MODERATOR SENG: Thank you.

16 We've been going for about an hour and 40 17 minutes. I see some of you are getting restless, 18 so I think we're going to take a short break. We will convene at 6:00 o'clock. We will start 19 20 promptly at 6:00 o'clock. The bathroom is right 21 outside to your right. 22 (Recess taken.) MODERATOR SENG: Just a few housekeeping 2.3 24 details before we start back in. 25 Assistant Administrator Grumbles had to 0082 1 catch a flight for the west coast, so he is now 2 being represented by John Perrecone, who is the Ecosystems Project Manager for EPA Region 5. 4 I spoke to all three of the gentlemen on 5 the podium at the break and all were impressed 6 with the high tone and the good quality of 7 substantive comments that have been made so far, 8 so thank you very much for that and we hope that 9 trend continues. 10 I want to make a note that if you have 11 written comments, if you have brought written 12 comments with you and you don't have a chance to 13 get through them all in the time frame or even if 14 you do, if would you please leave those in the box 15 on the table outside so that we give them to the 16 Court Reporter so she can make sure -- She's scrambling to try to keep up because you have to 17 18 meet your time deadline, so if we have those 19 written comments we can capture exactly what you 20 wanted to say to the group. 21 And, finally, as a final resource person 22 we have a representation from the Farm Service 23 Agency at the USDA, John Stevenson, the State 24 Executive Director. There's John if you want to 25 talk with him afterwards (indicating). 0083 With that, we'll dive right back in. 1 2 We're taking comments. We're up to No. 26? 3 Number 26 care to make comments? (No response.) 5 How about 27 through 30? 6 What number? 7 MR. RUMA: Number 30. 8 MODERATOR SENG: Anyone below 30, please? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. RUMA: My name is Charlie Ruma, 11 spelled R-u-m-a. I'm a home builder here in 12 Columbus, Ohio. 13 I'm on the Ohio House Finance Agency and 14 I'm also a member of the Ohio to Erie Bicycle 15 Trail Board. 16 I'm here basically as a home builder. 17 I'm a real estate developer. I'm considered 18 generally a bad guy for most of the communities 19 that's in this room. I think I'm one of the best environmentalists in the room and done more to 2.0

21 effect the environment, either good or bad, than 22 most people. I have just a few comments I'd like 23 to make. 24 First of all, I think it's important for 25 us to get one set of rules. We deal with federal 0084 1 regulation and then we deal with state regulation, 2. and they're in conflict. And it's time for us to 3 get one set. If we're going to talk about 4 cooperation, let's deal on the same page so we 5 know what the game is and then we'll comply. 6 That's what we normally do. 7 Secondly, the whole essence of us dealing 8 with the environmental issue comes down to either 9 somebody who is really concerned about the 10 environment or somebody who doesn't want growth. 11 At some point in time the environmental 12 community has got to understand that if they 13 continue to cooperate with the no-growth that has 14 been -- all we have is strife and we never get 15 anywhere. 16 The example is simple. We went to the 17 environmental community on a large piece of ground 18 here in Columbus, Ohio. We said, "Tell us what 19 your concerns are about this piece of property. 2.0 We know we're two miles away from the Darby Creek. 21 What would you like to see? What are your 22 concerns?" 2.3 They gave us a list. We went to an 24 engineering firm. We did everything to figure 25 out, temperature, water, how much water was 0085 1 flowing off that site, how to handle sanitary 2 sewer. We did it all. Created wetlands so we 3 filter the water. We said, "Okay. Here it is. 4 We're going to go for approval of this project. 5 Would you help us out?" Not one person showed up. 6 I think if there's going to be 7 cooperation and the environmentalists are 8 concerned about the environment, they need to work 9 with the development community, not the no-growth 10 community. Lastly, I'll say this very quickly, we 11 12 need flexibility. Right now there's no common 13 sense in dealing with the environmental laws. 14 It's black or white. We need a lot more gray in 15 the situation so that we can make decisions about overstepping the bounds because it makes common 16 17 sense. And it's --18 MODERATOR SENG: We're out of time. 19 MR. RUMA: Thank you. 20 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 21 Numbers 31 through 35. 22 (No response.) 2.3 Numbers 36 through 40? 24 What number, please? 25 MR. TUTTLE: Number 40.

0086 1 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. MR. TUTTLE: My name is Richard Tuttle, 3 T-u-t-t-l-e. I'm a retired middle school science teacher. I'm a volunteer eagle watcher for the 4 5 Ohio Division of Wildlife. 6 Many of Ohio's eagles have a problem. 7 They lack strong, mature trees to actually support 8 their large nest, nests that become heavier year 9 after year as new nesting material is added. 10 Historically, strong trees such as oaks 11 supported the nest or Sumac. Today too many of 12 our eagles are forced to nest in cottonwood trees, 13 which have proved to be too weak to support eagle 14 nests for long term. 15 I propose a program, Oaks for Eagles, be 16 launched to encourage school children to plant oak 17 saplings on public lands where eagles have nested, 18 should have nested in the past, or could nest in 19 the future if the proper trees grow in the location. Wildlife biologists would select the 20 21 sites. 22 Fencing four fence posts and a plaque 23 explaining the program would protect the saplings 2.4 during their maturing years. Make ceremony a part 25 of each tree's planting. Young people in our 0087 1 culture are short on ceremony. 2 Veteran conservationists know that some 3 of their work might not bear fruit in their own 4 century. I do know that eagles have a strong 5 celebrity status among all ages. And if children 6 raise money for an oak tree and celebrate its 7 planting an eagle habitat, most will visit their 8 trees for the rest of their lives, even though 9 their oak may not shelter eaglettes until their grandchildren walk on this earth. 10 11 Such a program would teach classical 12 conservation while bringing the missions of 13 multiple agencies into focus. Oaks for Eagles, 14 conservation for posterity. 15 Thank you. 16 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you for your 17 comments. 18 Number 41? 19 MR. WAIDMANN: If I could just ask a 20 question of the previous speaker, did oaks used to 21 be prevalent in Ohio? 22 MR. TUTTLE: Yes. 23 MR. WAIDMANN: And then what happened? 24 MR. TUTTLE: Well, they were harvested, 25 cut down for farming or timber. And ask any of 0088 1 the -- Ohio, by the way, has a tremendous success rate with our eagles, and the experts are here, 3 and in all the workshops we have for our eagle volunteers, that's one of the things that we're

```
taught, that our oaks are not big enough.
 6
              Our forests are growing back, as you've
 7
     already heard, but a lot of the oaks are not big
 8
     enough to hold our eagles. Plus, if you look at
9
     the habitat where the eagles are at, this is eagle
10
     habitat. The one thing that would enhance it
11
     would be proper nest sites.
12
              And our cottonwoods grow quickly, but
13
     it's a soft, weak wood. So -- But I'm more
14
     talking about the education than the conservation
15
    part. Conversation will only be conservation if
16
     we sell our kids on just getting excited about
17
     our --
18
              MR. WAIDMANN: One last question.
19
     the life -- How long does it take for a oak -- How
20
     old does it have to be to sustain a nest?
21
              MR. TUTTLE: Probably a couple of hundred
22
            So we're talking about something that
23
     would almost be a spiritual type thing. That's
24
     what we all should be doing anyhow. That's why
25
     we're having the meeting.
0089
              MODERATOR SENG: Number 41?
1
 2
              MR. ROMIG: Bob Romig. I'm with the Ohio
    Forestry Association as Executive Director.
 3
 4
              MODERATOR SENG: Could you spell the last
 5
    name, please?
 6
              MR. ROMIG: R-o-m-i-q.
 7
              I want to remind you we have inherited a
8
     tremendous natural forest system, and I'm
9
     concerned that it's being threatened. And it's
10
    being threatened by policies that prevent the
11
     Forest Service from applying the science that they
12
     know and have continued to generate.
13
              I implore you to apply that science to
14
     these forests. It would be tragic if we, in our
15
     generation, are unable to pass this heritage on to
16
     our next generation.
17
              Oftentimes when we take the no-cut
18
     theory, the idea is that we're stimulating
19
     tourism. Selling fishing worms to people in
20
     Cleveland is not sound economic development, and
21
     it's a damage to our forests. So I implore you to
22
     apply the research.
23
              We do a lot of research here in Ohio and,
2.4
     actually, we're preparing to do some harvest here,
25
    but if we're to get the diversity of wildlife
0090
1
     species and plant species that we inherit, it's
 2
     going to require cutting some trees.
 3
              Thank you.
 4
              MODERATOR SENG: Thank you for your
 5
     comments.
 6
              Number 42?
 7
              Numbers 42 through 45?
 8
              Numbers 40 through 50?
 9
              What number?
```

10 MR. GALLAWAY: Number 46. 11 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 12 MR. GALLAWAY: Hi. My name is

Mike Gallaway, G-a-l-l-a-w-a-y. I work for the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency in the Division of Surface Water. And my role could best be described as Project Leader of the Big Darby Creek. I think I'm a bit of an oddity here. I read the questions and tried to come up with answers to the questions. I am going to give you brief comments on each question.

You asked about conservation programs. I think we need to provide incentives to landowners to protect existing critical habitat for endangered species. We have incentive programs to help spur conversion of land to conservation

practices, but we don't have any incentives to protect land that's already in place for existing conservation.

How can you help with conservation laws? Certainly I think everyone wants clarity on what we see as conflicts in the Clean Water Act in terms of the Army Corps of Engineers' authority with dredging and filling the streams and the conflict of trying to restore streams to be fishable and swimable is a goal of the Clean Water Act.

How can you provide or cooperate with science? We need USGS's funding. USGS provides flow information for total maximum daily load studies. It's an essential piece of it. Without that, we don't have water quality.

In addition, in terms of science, we sometimes have conflicting goals of water quality and drainage in this state. Currently, some in our academic circles are coming up with new techniques for trying to handle drainage, such as two-stage ditches or over-wide ditches. The research necessary to connect that to the end point of environmental protection has not been done and it needs to be done.

How can you cooperatively promote conservation? In this specific case, the Big Darby has crafted a land use plan for western Franklin County. You could cooperate with that by funding extension of the Big Run Trunk Sewer from the City of Columbus to the proposed Darby Town Center.

The Big Run Trunk Sewer, if funded, would serve to -- as in impetus for probably 20 years of privately funded conservation in western Franklin County. That's the basis of the Big Darby Accord.

MODERATOR SENG: I'm sorry. That's your

time. Leave your comments in the box outside.

14 Thank you. 15 Numbers 47 through 50? 16 Numbers 50 through 60? 17 Numbers? What number? MS. MC HUGH: Number 51. 18 19 Good evening. I am Teresa McHugh, 20 M-c-H-u-g-h. I am a regional representative with 2.1 the Sierra Club here in Columbus. 22 The Sierra Club is a national 23 organization that's been around for over 100 24 years. We're comprised of individuals from all 25 walks of life who work in their communities with 0093 1 local businesses, with local state and federal 2 government, to achieve conservation goals. 3 We support wholeheartedly the effort and 4 intend to engage in partnership efforts. Very 5 broadly, it's important to bring as many different 6 voices as possible to achieve conservation goals 7 and to ensure that those goals are sustained over 8 the long term. 9 However, the Sierra Club feels very 10 strongly that partnership efforts need to 11 complement and not replace existing environmental protections. 12 13 The existing laws, the existing 14 regulations are what have allowed us to come together and work in our communities with many 15 16 players to achieve conservation goals. We 17 shouldn't throw those efforts away. 18 Recently the current administration has, 19 in fact, failed to enforce and at times has worked 20 to dismantle the conversation laws that have moved 21 us forward over 30 years. For our cooperative 22 efforts to succeed, the federal government must provide full and robust resources to the existing 2.3 conservation protections and to the agencies of 2.4 25 the government that work on behalf of those 0094 1 protections. Thank you. 3 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 4 Number 52? 5 MR. SHANER: I have a tendency to go on 6 so if you could please flash the red card, that 7 would help me a lot. 8 Jack Shaner, S-h-a-n-e-r, Public Director 9 of the Ohio Environmental Council. You know, this 10 was my theme of asking you to flash the card. I saw the Highway Patrol Troopers here to keep us 11 12 all honest. None of us would go out there on the 13 highway and knowingly put anyone else's neck at 14 danger, but it's the Highway Patrol, it's the laws 15 that help protect us. And my main message is please maintain those good protections for human 16 17 health and our natural resources. 18 There's a lot of progress that has been

19 made in the state and across the nation. The very 20 things that government, industry and all citizens 21 celebrate largely come -- I believe most of the 22 large-scale progress has been made because of the 23 force of law or the threat of a lawsuit. 2.4 There's no end to the threats to 25 environmental conservation. In this state, we 0095 have a notorious offender known as Buckeye Egg. 1 2 The state has moved to shut down a nuclear power 3 plant near Toledo which had a steel reactor head 4 almost eaten through by acid, which the Feds had 5 to intervene on, and it seems like no end. 6 Sometimes it's not from the law breakers, 7 but from the law makers themselves in our own 8 state legislature. We have had encroachments on 9 federal law. We have a few property owners trying 10 to kick the public off the shoreline and trying to 11 handcuff our state DNR's authority to control 12 development on that shoreline. 13 Home builders, and my group has 14 recognized home builders, giving them an award, an 15 annual dinner, but I'm sorry, the home-builder 16 industry sought and passed a Governor-vetoed portion of an amendment that would have gutted our 17 state from our protection laws for weapons. 18 19 There was a moratorium proposed on 20 acquisition of Wayne National Forest, and now the 21 granddaddy of them all some lawmakers want 22 to -- one of our candidates wants to limit our 23 legislature to no more stringent laws than what 24 you the Feds have. 25 We need -- This state desperately needs a 0096 1 strong federal network there. We've supported 2 this Administration when we thought they were 3 right. We've supported the new diesel cleanup emission limits for the new zero-emission power 4 5 plant that's been proposed. We have certainly 6 criticized the Administration when we thought it 7 was wrong. Cooperation has a place, no question. 8 Again, go back to the highways. We're 9 driving more miles than ever before and yet we've 10 got less fatalities than ever before. It's come 11 through cooperation with industry, but it's also 12 come not by taking down the stop signs, not by 13 taking the cop off the block, not by rolling back 14 any laws. So, yes, encourage cooperation, help 15 keep us all honest, help maintain strong federal 16 laws. 17 Thank you. 18 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 19 Numbers 53 through 60? 20 Numbers 61 through 70? 2.1 Your number? 22 FROM THE FLOOR: Number 69.

MODERATOR SENG: Number?

MS. WETHERINGTON-RICE: Number 64.

Dr. Julie Weatherington-Rice,

W-e-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-q-t-o-n hyphen R-i-c-e.

2.2

2.3

W-e-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-g-t-o-n hyphen R-i-c-e. I am Co-Coordinator for the Ohio Fracture Flow Working Group, which is a section of the Ohio Academy of Science. We're here in Columbus, Ohio, but we're statewide. I am also the Senior Scientist for the firm of Bennett & Williams Environmental Consultants, and I'm an Adjunct Assistant Professor at OSU in the Department of Food, Agriculture and Biological Engineering.

I'm here to talk this evening about the protection of groundwater, which is the water supply for over 40 percent of the people in Ohio and most of rural Ohio.

The U.S. EPA groundwater pollution protection mapping system, DRASTIC, was first published in 1987. That now internationally applied mapping prediction system was created by the founder of my company, Truman Bennett. As part of the recognition of containment transport from the surface and near subsurface to underlying groundwater aquifers, U.S. EPA, with the 1994 amendments to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, began to require synthetic liners and caps for solid waste landfills throughout Ohio. However, this recognition of containment

transport did not migrate to the rest of the federal governmental agencies who work with groundwater protection, nor did it filter down to their state programs.

Most all federal programs still function under the impression that fine-grained glacially derived materials and lacustrine sediments don't leak and that the laboratory analyses results of these materials establish that relationship. That turned out to be just not so.

In 1993, Truman Bennett and other Ohio researchers came together to form the Ohio Fracture Flow Working Group to study and determine the who, what, when, where, why, how, how fast and how long a fracture forms in fine-grain materials and their impacts on groundwater and containment transport.

This working group, which includes all of the federal and state organizations working in groundwater in Ohio, also includes representation from private firms, departments of many Ohio universities and professional organizations.

Over the last 13 years we have, in typical Ohio fashion, solved the puzzle of fracture formation. We now know how to test for

1 it and how to statistically predict it. We are 2 currently interacting with researchers all over

3 North American and Europe to determine how widespread our predictions can be extrapolated. MODERATOR SENG: I'm sorry. I need to 5 6 interrupt you. Please leave your written 7 comments. 8 MS. WEATHERINGTON-RICE: Written comments 9 and issues of the Journal. MODERATOR SENG: Excellent. 10 11 Thank you. 12 Numbers 65 through 70? 13 MR. RESCH: I'm Bill Resch from 14 New Albany, Ohio. I'm a trustee of the Friends of 15 Big Walnut and its tributaries. 16 MODERATOR SENG: Can you spell your last 17 name, please? 18 MR. RESCH: My last name is spelled 19 R-e-s-c-h. 20 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 2.1 MR. RESCH: Earlier it was mentioned that 22 Ohio had lost 90 percent of its original forests 23 and that we have restored now up to 30 percent. 24 recommend that our federal, state and cooperative 25 conservation goal be to reverse our 90 percent 0100 1 loss of the original wetlands in Ohio from the 2 current ten-percent level to hopefully the 30 3 percent level, that would be a wonderful reversal. But the current Wetland Mitigation Bank 5 Policy is the antithesis of restoring Ohio's 6 wetlands from the ten percent to thirty percent or 7 more level. 8 Earlier U.S. Forest Service Director, 9 Mark Rey, advised us that we must cooperatively 10 eliminate disincentives to environmental 11 stewardship. The current policy and practice of 12 exporting or outsourcing our urban wetlands by the mitigation of their ecological function and values 13 14 of distant rural watersheds where they have a 15 mitigation bank, such as Marion and Fairfield 16 County, is a major disincentive to the future 17 stewardship of Ohio's remaining ten percent of 18 wetlands. 19 I live in a rapidly urbanized 20 municipality in Franklin County. Our local 21 government is required, as of March 2003, to 22 institute the U.S. EPA NPDES Phase 2 pretreatment 23 of nonpoint pollution. We are obligated by an 24 Ohio EPA permit, by policy. To export or 25 outsource our local watersheds, wetlands, these 0101 1 water-cleansing kidneys of nature, is not sound 2 science and damages the downstream riparian 3 property rights and the recreational enjoyment, 4 protection of potable water sources, and makes 5 reduction of nonpoint pollution in our urban 6 watershed nonachievable. Most egregious is the economic incentives

provided to developers to ship out these functions to other wetlands, banks. The market-based 9 10 mitigation system is a disincentive to stewardship 11 of our Ohio wetlands. 12 Thank you, sir. 13 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 14 Numbers 70 through 80? 15 MR. KISTER: Number 76. 16 MODERATOR SENG: And, Ma'am, who are you? 17 MS. BAIRD: Number 75. 18 MODERATOR SENG: Okay. 19 Number 75? 20 MS. BAIRD: Thank you. 21 My name is Ann Baird. I'm from Columbus, 2.2 Ohio. I work for Ohio State University Extension, the School of Environment and Natural Resources, 2.3 24 but my comments today are my own, but they 25 certainly reflect my position. It's actually to 0102 1 teach about and promote collaboration in watershed 2 management efforts in Ohio. 3 And one thing I'll just point out as an 4 observation, there's a great deal of science and 5 experience behind collaboration. And one thing I 6 found interesting that's working is USDA's 7 Cooperative State Research and Education Services, 8 encouraging the universities to work together to get this information on collaboration out to the 9 10 groups that are trying to promote those 11 collaborations. 12 In Ohio, we have over 200 watershed 13 management efforts and several of them have been 14 mentioned today. But one thing I found is 15 it's -- the science of collaboration is often 16 difficult to implement and the universities can 17 certainly play a role. 18 But I think it would be also interesting 19 for the federal government to think about how they 20 can continue to promote that, whether it be when 21 they put regulations in place or asking their 22 state agencies to roll out collaborative programs 23 like watershed management. 24 So I guess my question would be to 25 continue to think about that, the aspects of the 0103 1 collaborative science and how to integrate that in 2 their policy. 3 Thank you. 4 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 5 Number 76? 6 MR. KISTER: My name is Chad Kister. 7 the author of "Artic Crest and Artic Melting". 8 I'm also the Coordinator of Hocking Voice. And my 9 last name is spelled K-i-s-t-e-r, first name is 10 11 And my comments are that Ohio is under 12 assault by polluters and developers, and what we

13 heard in opening comments saying that Ohio is 14 better because we have a little more forestland 15 than when we totally clear cut it all just about 16 at the turn of the century is about as accurate as 17 that clock up there (indicating). 18 Now, Ohio used to have 95 percent open 19 forest with trees, 700 years old, covering our 2.0 state. Now we have less than .004 percent of old 21 growth forests left in Ohio and far eastern Ohio 22 being one of the biggest. In the State of Ohio, 23 which was Sam Speck who just spoke, has permanent 24 coal mining right under this old growth forest. 25 These 500-year-old oaks are perfect for 0104 1 bald eagles like we used to have all throughout 2 the state. And the same is happening to our water 3 quality. My grandmother -- grandparents had a 4 farm on Big Darby, which was a very clean river. 5 It's getting more and more polluted, silting is 6 polluting water. 7 You can't safely eat the fish in Ohio 8 because of mercury. And the Bush Administration 9 and the folks at the agencies that you represent 10 up there have been involved in the greatest assault of the environment that we have seen. 11 12 You have increased the pollution in our 13 water and air. You have decreased the -- You have stopped the efforts to reduce mercury pollution 14 15 which is necessary to make the fish that I eat 16 safe in Ohio. 17 And, quite frankly, you have murdered 18 thousands of people in America in this very sound 19 science because of the increase in pollution that 20 you have allowed. More people than died on 21 September 11th have been killed by the Bush 22 Administration's policy of increased pollution. 23 And I don't know how you can sleep at night. 24 You are increasing the pollution that I 25 drink every day, that I breathe every day in Ohio, 0105 1 and it is utterly sinful. 2 We have heard people today talk about who 3 cares about the Endangered Species Act. We have 4 heard that from folks who want to destroy it. 5 Well, if we don't have some solid laws that we all 6 have worked so hard for it is going to be gone. 7 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 8 MR. KISTER: We need solid laws. 9 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you for your 10 comments. 11 Numbers 77 through 80? 12 Number 79? 13 MS. HITCHCOCK: Yes. 14 My name is Christina Hitchcock. 15 Bokes and Mill Creek Watershed Coordinator out of 16 Union Soil and Water Conservation District.

```
17
              MODERATOR SENG: Can you spell your name?
              MS. HITCHCOCK: Christina Hitchcock,
18
19
     H-i-t-c-h-c-o-c-k, and I'm out of Marysville, Ohio
20
     Ohio.
21
              I am here to promote the benefits of
22
     watershed planning at the local level through Soil
2.3
     and Water Conversation Districts.
2.4
              SWCDs serve as a prime conduit for
25
     planning and implementing water quality
0106
 1
     improvements on a watershed scale, public outreach
     and involvement, providing technical expertise,
 3
     and maintaining a well-represented, diverse
 4
     partnership with watershed stakeholders.
 5
              Local watershed organizations employing
 6
     watershed coordinators through SWCDs is an
 7
     effective way to target sites needing planning
 8
     efforts for water quality improvements.
 9
              SWCDs are familiar with the demographics,
10
     socioeconomics, and geography within the
     boundaries of the watershed and can proactively
11
12
     work within that local area to plan realistic
13
     improvement efforts.
14
              Setting achievable goals and action plans
15
     allows for successful implementation of water
16
     quality improvement. Watershed inventories and
     action plans utilize their knowledge and authority
17
     of local SWCDs are more reputable, efficient and
18
19
     resourceful for implementing water quality
20
     improvement.
21
              SWCDs hold the respect and trust of
22
     landowners, which enhances cooperation throughout
     the communities of the watershed. Water quality
23
24
     improvements, especially targeting nonpoint source
25
     pollution, begin with public outreach and
0107
     involvement through the watershed.
 1
 2
              Efforts of the watershed coordinator and
 3
     SWCD staff provide frequent, even daily
 4
     interaction with landowners to encourage
 5
     conservation and water quality.
              Access to technology and trained
 6
 7
     employees is challenging in terms of availability,
 8
     as well as financially for a watershed
 9
     organization. Technical expertise is extremely
10
     valuable for implementation and measuring success
11
     for water quality protection and improvement.
12
              SWCDs have trained and experienced staff
13
     to provide watershed organization with the skills,
14
     technology and services that are a necessity for
15
     getting conservation projects and practices on the
16
     ground and completed.
17
              Lastly, SWCDs host valuable
18
     intergovernmental cooperation and/or partnerships
```

19 at the federal, state and local level. The 20 cooperation among these agencies through the SWCD 21 allows for a diverse and well-represented 22 watershed organization that respects the opinions 23 and needs locally, while still taking into 24 consideration large-scale considerations. 25 In closing, I feel that the most 0108 1 efficient, effective and reputable way to conserve water quality is at the watershed scale, utilizing 3 the valuable services and resources that SWCDs 4 offer. 5 Thank you. 6 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 7 We have to pause for just a moment for a 8 change at the podium. Under Secretary Rey has to 9 catch a flight to the west coast. He's going to 10 be at the Listening Session tomorrow in Redmond, 11 Oregon, so he has a long way to go in a short 12 amount of time. 13 He's going to be replaced on the podium 14 by Larry Cosby, who is a State Conservationist 15 with the Natural Resources and Conservation 16 Service. 17 Numbers 80 through 90? Number, please? 18 19 MS. GRESHAM: Number 81. 20 MODERATOR SENG: Number 81? 2.1 MS. GRESHAM: Good evening gentlemen and 22 members of the audience. My name is 23 Cyane Gresham, C-y-a-n-e G-r-e-s-h-a-m. 24 I was hired about two years ago to work 25 for the Ohio Sierra Club and specifically for the 0109 1 local group, the central Ohio group, on water 2 quality issues. 3 It's been a very interesting and 4 productive two years, I think, relevant to the 5 discussion of cooperative conservation, so I want 6 to tell you a couple of stories of success and 7 four lessons that I think will help in 8 understanding how cooperative conservation -- it 9 at least it helps me. I hope it can help you. I 10 know you gentlemen are important and very busy. 11 think we all appreciate your time here tonight. 12 Two years ago when I was hired, the 13 Sierra Club basically had an antagonistic 14 relationship with the municipal utilities, the 15 provider for wastewater, that is, the City of 16 Columbus, Department of Public Utilities. 17 They are, in this urban area, probably 18 the single biggest control over water quality. At 19 that time, it wasn't measured, but what was kept 20 account of indicated discharges of between three and five billion, that's billion, gallons a year 2.1 22 of untreated wastewater. 23 The water quality limits were met every

```
24
     time it rained. The Sierra Club filed a 60-day
     Notice of Intent to sue. That threatened lawsuit
25
0110
1
    went away.
 2
              And the City of Columbus has stepped up.
 3
     They want to fix their sewer system. They have
    promised five-and-a-half billion dollars worth of
 5
     improvements over the next 40 years; however, the
     federal money is vanishing. There are no grants
 6
 7
     and the loan fund is being reduced.
 8
              The four lessons that I think come out of
 9
     this, we've heard many times tonight; honor
10
     existing laws. Please, fund the government
11
     agencies whose mission it is to uphold these laws.
12
     Provide funds for private incentives for
13
     conservation.
14
              And, finally, look -- It isn't up to all
15
     of you in Washington. There are lots of
16
     experiments out in the state. Listen and see what
17
     works. And if you provide the funding and a
18
     strong leadership, it will happen.
19
              Thank you.
20
              MODERATOR SENG: Thank you.
21
              Numbers 82 through 90?
2.2
              Numbers 90 through 100?
23
              Number, please?
              MR. MAYNARD: I think I'm No. 95.
24
2.5
              My name is Craig Maynard. I'm a private
0111
     citizen, resident of Columbus, Ohio. I have
 1
 2
     gotten a serious case of the warm fuzzies sitting
 3
     here today, and I don't think the fuzzies are from
 4
     the discussions of fuzzy signs or fuzzy math.
 5
              I, too, want to say that I think it's
 6
     important that we have rigorous science, that
 7
     environmentalists be held to rigorous scientific
 8
     standards. But I think it's also important that
 9
     the building community, the development community,
10
     that they make an effort to hold themselves
11
     accountable to science.
12
              I have been seeking metaphors as I've sat
13
     there patiently awaiting my time, counting the
14
     pros and cons of each speaker.
15
              Cooperative conservation, it sounds
16
     great, and maybe that's why I'm feeling warm and
17
     fuzzy. My question is: If it were cancer that I
18
    had, do we really want to cooperate? Do we have a
19
    problem such that we're beyond the point of
20
     cooperation and now we're at the point of "It's
21
     time for drastic measures"? It's time for chemo.
22
     It may kill us, but it may save us, too.
23
              It was very interesting to write down the
2.4
     comments of the various speakers as we went. I
25
     was slightly shocked and horrified when Marcie
0112
```

from the American Agri-Women told me that

extinction is a natural process, and we should 3 make an effort only to save significant species. 4 I'm hoping that includes you and me. Whether or not you believe all the hype, 6 the propaganda, the noise that's out there on both 7 sides, I think a lot of us understand that we are 8 at the tipping point and that are actions now that 9 have long-term consequences. 10 So when Malcolm Porter and others talk 11 about the economics of cooperative conservation 12 and when we talk about market forces, are we 13 talking short-term costs, or are we talking 14 long-term costs? 15 Is this the rule of the seventh 16 generation? What's the externality, as Pat Marida 17 from the Sierra Club mentioned, clean air, clean 18 water. 19 There's another problem from economics 20 that comes into play and that's the issue of the 21 free ride. We understand that with fluids, water 22 and air, things move. So how do we make sure that people that put excessive amounts of pollution in 23 the water pay their fair share? But those of us 24 2.5 that want to recreate and walk in it downstream, 0113 1 that we can get the benefit and have it diminished 2 by other people's belief that just because it runs 3 through their land, that it's theirs. 4 And maybe that's the metaphor we need to 5 focus on. We need a paradigm change. This is not 6 about property rights. This is not about mine 7 versus yours. This is about all of us. 8 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 9 That's your time. 10 MR. MAYNARD: Thank you. MODERATOR SENG: We distributed 102 cards 11 12 in the beginning. Is there anyone after 95 13 that's -- Please. 14 MR. MILLER: I'm No. 100. 15 My name is Ed Miller from the Delaware Soil and Water Conversation District in Delaware, 16 17 Ohio. I'd like to briefly advocate for soil and 18 water conversation districts as a vehicle to 19 deliver cooperative conservation primarily on a 20 watershed scale. And the purpose of this would be 21 to have policy language to recognize soil and 22 water conservation districts and to also encourage members of soil and water conversation districts 23 24 and their boards to be invited to the table to 25 participate in discussions on cooperative 0114 1 conservation. The reasons that I'd like to give briefly

are the national network of soil and water conversation districts has a long history of

well-respected and trusted relationships with individuals in the local community and with local 6 7 community organizations and agencies. At the local level, soil and water 8 9 conversation district staffs live and they work 10 and they interact amongst the community they 11 serve. They have built an adaptive and flexible 12 foundation to deliver conservation science and technology and public education and outreach using 13 14 multi-disciplinary staffs and many diverse 15 outreach programs. 16 They are usually the first organization 17 to be contacted by the local community or 18 individuals when inquiries arise concerning 19 national resources and the environment, including 20 rules and regulations. 21 They work hard to acquired an efficiently 22 use local, state, federal, private, corporate, 23 municipal and other funding sources to deliver 24 needed expertise, products, programs and services 25 at the -- to the local individual or the community 0115 level. 1 2 They readily adopted and succeeded in utilizing new approaches such as the watershed 3 4 approach to deliver conservation, environmental 5 protection and science primarily through volunteer and community-based organizations. 6 7 Many Ohio SWCDs have led or partnered 8 with local watershed groups to effectively 9 integrate available sources of funds, including 10 those made available from U.S. EPA and USDA. The 11 local watershed is the most practical geographic 12 unit for planning and prioritizing water resource 13 management programs. 14 SWCDs have set an example in Ohio, 15 demonstrating how units of government, based on 16 traditional political boundaries, can work 17 together on a watershed basis. They have 18 well-established partnerships and close working 19 relationships with agencies and organizations at 20 local, state and national levels that are the 21 leaders in conversation, natural resource 22 management, environmental protection and 23 environmental engineering. 2.4 Thank you. 25 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 0116 1 Do we have Nos. 101 or 102? 2 Is there anyone who has not spoken who 3 would like to come to the mic? 4 MR. RICHARDS: Number 105. 5 MODERATOR SENG: I'm sorry. 6 MR. RICHARDS: Am I last? 7 MODERATOR SENG: I'm not sure.

Is he last? Anyone else?

9 MR. RICHARDS: Bill Richards, spelled 10 R-i-c-h-a-r-d-s. I'm a corn and conservation 11 farmer from Circleville, Ohio. I also work for 12 Senator DeWine as an Ag Liaison, Ag and 13 Conservation Liaison. I am going to speak to two 14 things, and I'm not speaking for Senator DeWine, 15 obviously. 16 Two areas: First, energy. There's been 17 mention of ethanol today. When we look at even 18 the possibility of reducing air and oil imports, 19 we're going to need lots and lots of land, 20 millions of acres of land. We're probably going 21 to see the biggest change in land use that we have 22 seen since the Dust Bowl. So I really challenge 23 you agencies to really start working together on 24 the kind of policy that it's going to take to 25 really bring that about. The country needs it. 0117 1 Second, cooperative conversation is 2 spelled land care in much of the world. Take a 3 close look at what the Aussies have done in the 4 way of their land care movement. They have been 5 able to raise the conservation ethic in their country with a fraction of the expenditures and 6 7 programs we have. 8 When you raise the conservation ethic, it 9 stays. It lasts longer than programs. So that's 10 my other challenge to you, to really take a look at rural land care. 11 12 Thank you. 13 MODERATOR SENG: Thank you. 14 Is there anyone else that has not spoken 15 who would like to? 16 (No response.) 17 With that, I would like to offer the gentlemen on the podium a chance to make any 18 19 comments closing. Do you have any? 20 MR. WAIDMANN: I think just a couple. I think all of us who have sat here for 21 22 the last two-and-a-half hours were impressed by 23 the articulate views, well expressed, and the 24 diversity of views. I think all of us learned at 25 least a few things that we may not have known 0118 1 before. 2 I personally have not ever heard of the 3 black-headed vulture and the problems that 4 individual counties were experiencing. 5 I thought the description of the 6 externalization of costs that was done by one of 7 our speakers was quite cogent. 8 And I was impressed by the number of 9 people who I think all of them kept saying one set 10 of rules and be consistent so that everybody knows 11 what they are were really good, good messages to 12 learn. 13 It's hard to summarize, you know, more

```
14
     than several-dozen pages of notes that were taken.
15
     But I really appreciate the time and effort that
16
     everybody spent and devoted in coming here. I
17
     just wished the President or the Secretary could
18
    have been here.
19
              The Secretary is on his way to his fifth
20
     Listening Session and we just didn't schedule this
2.1
    right or, otherwise, he would have been here.
22
     will be talking to him about 10:00 o'clock tonight
23
     and going over a series of points that were made.
24
              I think on behalf of all of us from the
25
     Administration, we're grateful for the really rare
0119
 1
     opportunity where we just get to listen and not be
 2
     part of photo ops or speeches or -- This is one of
 3
     the best times I've had all year, so thank you
 4
     very much.
 5
              MR. PERRECONE: Thank you to everybody
 6
     for their thoughtful comments and good comments.
     I will take these comments back to Region 5,
 8
     Chicago. And I know the EPA, the Water Division,
 9
     is interested in your comments about trading,
10
     about NPDES discharges, about other issues of
11
    water quality, as well as other issues.
12
              Thank you very much.
13
              MR. COSBY: I would like to take this
14
     opportunity to thank you all for coming tonight.
15
     I know that you all are busy, have very busy
16
     schedules. I note that all of the agencies here
17
     locally in the state are working cooperatively
18
     together, and we have heard your concerns in
19
     coming to this Cooperative Conservation.
20
              Thank you for coming.
21
              MODERATOR SENG: And on behalf of the
22
     Department of Interior --
             FROM THE FLOOR: I just have a real quick
23
24
     question. Is the oral or written testimony going
25
     to be available from tonight and the other
0120
    Listening Sessions?
1
 2
              MODERATOR SENG: All I know is that we
 3
     are collecting all the transcriptions. The firm
 4
     that I work for is doing that. We're going to
 5
     create a summary of what's been heard at the
 6
     sessions all around the country. That report will
 7
    be delivered with the full transcription of the
 8
    pendencies to the departments represented here.
 9
     And I don't know if I can comment on anything
10
    beyond that. That's all I know.
              MS. LINNENBRINK: I think they are
11
12
    posting them online.
13
              MODERATOR SENG: The transcripts?
14
              MS. LINNENBRINK: The summaries.
15
              MODERATOR SENG: The summaries will be
16
    posted online. I'm not sure where that will be
17
    posted.
```

```
18
             MS. LINNEBRINK: The Cooperative
19
     Conservation website.
20
              MODERATOR SENG: Okay. And that's the
21
     same website that's on that card that you have.
22
     We'll have the summaries posted on it.
23
             Thank you, Monica.
24
              On behalf of the Department of Interior
25
     and Agriculture and the Environmental Protection
0121
 1
     Agency, I would like to thank you all for coming,
 2
     and we wish you a pleasant evening.
 3
             We stand adjourned.
 4
                            - - -
 5
              (Thereupon, Cooperative Conservation
 6
              Listening Session was concluded at 6:45
 7
              o'clock p.m. on Monday, August 21, 2006.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
```